REMARKS ON THE EXISTENCE OF COPIES OF c_0 AND l_∞ IN THE SPACE $cabv(\lambda, E)$

GIOVANNI EMMANUELE

We present some results essentially showing that $cabv(\lambda, E)$ lives inside $cabv(\lambda, E)$ if and only if l_{∞} lives inside $cabv(\lambda, E)$. Some applications of this result to other questions (existence of complemented copies of c_0 and lifting of the Gelfand-Phillips property) are given.

Let S be an arbitrary set, Σ be a σ -algebra of subsets of S and λ a finite or infinite measure, such that the space (S, Σ, λ) is atomless. Let also E denote a Banach space. Kwapien ([14]) and Mendoza ([15]) have, respectively, proved that c_0 and l_{∞} embed into the Bochner function space $L_1(\lambda, E)$ if and only if they embed into E (for Köthe function spaces similar results were got in [3] and [11]).

A natural question rising from the quoted results is the following: are similar results true for the space $cabv(\lambda, E)$, i.e. the Banach space of countably additive λ -continuous measures μ with bounded variation $\|\mu\|$ taking their values into E?

In this short note we shall study the question considered above of the existence of copies of c_0 and l_∞ in the larger space $cabv(\lambda, E)$. Some partial results to the question put are already known; for instance, a result due to E. Saab and P. Saab [17] can be used to show the following fact

Entrato in Redazione il 14 gennaio 1995.

Work performed during a three months visit (April-June 1994) of the author to Madrid thanks to a financial support from the Universidad Complutense.

Proposition 1. If $E = Y^*$ is a dual Banach space, thus c_0 (resp. l_{∞}) embeds into $cabv(\lambda, E)$ if and only if it does into E.

Proof. It is clear that just the "only if" part needs a proof. The Stone-Kakutani Theorem (see [2]) allows a reduction of our study to the case of (S, Σ, λ) a regular Borel measure space on a compact space. It is well known that the dual space of the space C(S, Y) of continuous Y-valued functions is the space of all regular Borel measures with bounded variation and values inside E; hence $cabv(\lambda, E)$ is a closed subspace of such a space (actually complemented thanks to the Lebesgue decomposition Theorem, [6]). Hence c_0 (resp. l_∞) lives inside $(C(S, Y))^*$. It is now enough to apply the main result from [17] to get our thesis.

So that we need to consider just the case of a not dual space E. In such a case, an example due to Talagrand ([21]) shows that $cabv(\lambda, E)$ is so big that c_0 can embed into it even if it does not into E (in passing we remark that Talagrand constructed a copy of c_0 inside the larger space of all countably additive vector measures with bounded variation, but, since the unit vector basis of c_0 is a weakly compact set, there exists a control measure λ for it, [6]; hence that copy actually lives in some $cabv(\lambda, E)$. Precisely in that case we shall prove (Theorem 2) that even l_∞ lives inside $cabv(\lambda, E)$. The proof of this result will also allow to perform our construction of a copy of l_∞ in $cabv(\lambda, E)$ even when c_0 embeds into E (Theorem 3), so that we have that c_0 embeds into $cabv(\lambda, E)$ if and only if l_∞ embeds into $cabv(\lambda, E)$; we can thus affirm that no analogue of the Kwapien and Mendoza theorems holds true in general.

We also recall that in [10] it is shown that if c_0 embeds into E, thus it embeds complementably into $L_1(\lambda, E)$. What about the existence of complemented copies of c_0 inside $cabv(\lambda, E)$? The recalled results from [14] and [10] imply that c_0 embeds complementably into $L_1(\mu, E)$ if and only if it embeds into E, but because of the Talagrand example one could think that c_0 embeds also complementably into $cabv(\lambda, E)$, without necessarily embedding into E. An application of Theorem 2 will show that this is not the case; indeed, we get that the presence of c_0 inside E is a necessary condition for the existence of complemented copies of c_0 in $cabv(\lambda, E)$; such a result cannot be reversed in general, as the proof of Proposition 1 proves. These facts show that the situation, in the complementability case, is different from the one in the case of the mere embeddability and not completely clear up to now, because we do not know the complete answer to the following question: $does c_0$ embeds complementably into $cabv(\lambda, E)$ if and only if it embeds complementably into E?

From our results it will also follow that the so called Gelfand-Phillips property (see below for the definition and [9], [12], [18] for examples, properties and results on it) does not necessarily lift from E to $cabv(\lambda, E)$, contrarily to

the case of the space $L_1(\mu, E)$ (see [4]).

Results.

We start by giving one of the main theorems of the note about the construction of copies of l_{∞} inside $cabv(\lambda, E)$ starting from the existence of copies of c_0 inside $cabv(\lambda, E)$.

Theorem 2. Let E be a Banach space not containing copies of c_0 such that there is an isomorphic copy X of c_0 inside $cabv(\lambda, E)$. Then there are a subspace H of $cabv(\lambda, E)$ isomorphic to c_0 and a subspace K of $cabv(\lambda, E)$ isomorphic to l_∞ such that X is a subspace of H and H is a subspace of K.

Proof. Let (μ_n) be a basis for X equivalent to the unit vector basis of c_0 . For $h = (h_n) \in l_\infty$ and $A \in \Sigma$ we consider the series $\sum h_n \mu_n(A)$ that is unconditionally converging; indeed, the series $\sum \mu_n(A)$ must be weakly unconditionally converging because image of the series $\sum \mu_n$ under the linear and bounded mapping $\mu \to \mu(A)$; since c_0 does not embed into E, we get that $\sum \mu_n(A)$ is unconditionally converging, a fact that it is well known to give our claim. We may define $\mu_h : \Sigma \to E$ by $\mu_h(A) = \sum h_n \mu_n(A)$ for all $A \in \Sigma$. Clearly μ_h is finitely additive. Furthermore, if $\sigma = (A_1, \ldots A_p)$ is a finite partition of S we get

$$\sum_{\sigma} \| \sum_{n} h_n \mu_n(A_i) \| \le \sum_{\sigma} (\| \sum_{i=1}^q h_n \mu_n(A_i) \| + \| \sum_{n=q+1}^\infty h_n \mu_n(A_i) \|)$$

for all $q \in N$. Since σ is finite and $\sum h_n \mu_n(A)$ is unconditionally converging for all $A \in \Sigma$, for each $\epsilon > 0$ one can find a $q_{\epsilon,\sigma} \in N$ such that

$$\sum_{\sigma} \| \sum_{n=q_{\epsilon,\sigma}+1}^{\infty} h_n \mu_n(A_i) \| < \epsilon$$

so that for all $\epsilon > 0$ we have

$$\sum_{\sigma} \| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} h_n \mu_n(A_i) \| \leq \sum_{\sigma} \| \sum_{i=1}^{q_{\epsilon,\sigma}} h_n \mu_n(A_i) \| + \epsilon \leq$$

$$\leq \|\sum_{i=1}^{q_{\epsilon,\sigma}} h_n \mu_n\| + \epsilon \leq M \|h\|_{\infty} + \epsilon$$

where the constant M exists and depends only upon (μ_n) since $\sum \mu_n$ is weakly unconditionally converging in $cabv(\lambda, E)$. Hence μ_h has bounded variation, for all $h = (h_n) \in l_{\infty}$. We have so defined a linear and bounded map $\psi : l_{\infty} \to abv(\Sigma, E)$ (by $abv(\Sigma, E)$ we shall denote the Banach space of finitely additive measures with bounded variation). Now we recall that there is a projection P from $abv(\Sigma, E)$ onto $cabv(\lambda, E)$ (use a result from [22] and again the Lebesgue Decomposition Theorem, [6]), so that we have a linear and bounded map $P \circ \psi : l_{\infty} \to cabv(\lambda, E)$ such that $P \circ \psi(e_n) = \mu_n$ where (e_n) denotes the unit vector basis of c_0 . To get H and K as required we have now to apply a famous result due to Rosenthal ([16]). We are done.

So in the case of E the Talagrand space, not only c_0 , but also l_∞ lives inside $cabv(\lambda, E)$; in passing we observe that if Z is a reflexive subspace of the Talagrand space X ([21]), thus $cabv(\lambda, X/Z)$ contains a copy of c_0 even if X/Z does not; that such a X/Z does not contain copies of c_0 has been proved in the paper [13]. That $cabv(\lambda, X/Z)$ has a copy of c_0 may be proved by contradiction as it follows: suppose that $cabv(\lambda, X/Z)$ does not have copy of c_0 inside; since $cabv(\lambda, X/Z)$ is isometrically isomorphic to $cabv(\lambda, X)/cabv(\lambda, Z)$ as proved in [13] and since c_0 does not live inside $cabv(\lambda, Z)$ since Z is reflexive, we obtain that c_0 is not allowed to embed into $cabv(\lambda, X)$ (see [1]), a contradiction that finishes our proof.

Remark 1. It is clear from the proof of Theorem 2 that in order to define μ_h for all $h = (h_n) \in l_{\infty}$ we need only to know that $\sum h_n \mu_n(A)$ is unconditionally converging for all $A \in \Sigma$ and all $h = (h_n) \in l_{\infty}$.

This remark allows us to present the following result for which we do not give a proof, since it can be performed with the same techniques used in the proof of Theorem 2.2 from [5]

Theorem 3. Let c_0 embed into E. Then there is a subspace K of $cabv(\lambda, E)$ isomorphic to l_{∞} .

Theorem 2 and Theorem 3 actually show that $cabv(\lambda, E)$ is so "big" that the following equivalence always holds true

Corollary 4. For any Banach space E the following facts are equivalent:

- 1) c_0 embeds into $cabv(\lambda, E)$
- 2) l_{∞} embeds into $cabv(\lambda, E)$.

The above results have some other interesting consequences; the first one is about the question of the existence of complemented copies of c_0 in $cabv(\lambda, E)$

Corollary 5. Let c_0 embed complementably into cabv (λ, E) . Then c_0 embeds into E.

Proof. Suppose that c_0 does not live into E or, at least, that $\sum h_n \mu_n(A)$ is unconditionally converging for all $h = (h_n) \in l_\infty$ and all $A \in \Sigma$, according to Remark 1. Let us consider H and K as in Theorem 2. Since H is complemented in X (X denotes the complemented copy of c_0 living in $cabv(\lambda, E)$), H is complemented also in $cabv(\lambda, E)$; this gives that H is complemented in K, which is well known to be false.

Proposition 1 and Corollary 5 suggest the following question: does c_0 embed complementably into a (not dual Banach space E) if and only if it does the same into $cabv(\lambda, E)$?

Sometimes the answer to the above question is positive; indeed, it enough to consider a Banach space with the so-called (BD) property (see [8]; we recall that among them one can find Banach spaces with the Gelfand-Phillips property and Banach spaces not containing copies of l_1), because it is known that c_0 embeds complementably into such a space as soon as it lives inside it ([18]), so the claim follows from Corollary 5; but we do not have a complete answer to the above question at the moment.

The next result is about the Gelfand-Phillips property in $cabv(\lambda, E)$ and it also makes use of the previous theorems; first we recall that a Banach space E is said to possess the Gelfand-Phillips property (see [7]) if any limited subset of X (i.e a set M such that for any weak*-null sequence (x_n^*) in the dual space one has $\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{M} |x_n^*(x)| = 0$) in E is relatively compact. Many examples of spaces possessing the Gelfand-Phillips property are known in the literature (we refer to [7], [12] for lists of such spaces).

Corollary 6. The Gelfand-Phillips property does not necessarily lift from the Banach space E to $cabv(\lambda, E)$.

Proof. Thanks to Corollary 4 in order to get our claim it is enough to find a Banach space E possessing the Gelfand-Phillips property such that c_0 embeds into $cabv(\lambda, E)$, because in such a case even l_{∞} embeds into $cabv(\lambda, E)$. Since it is well known that the Gelfand-Phillips property is inherited by subspaces and l_{∞} does not possess it, we shall be done. So any Banach space with the Gelfand-Phillips property having a copy of c_0 inside, as well as the Talagrand space ([21]), works well to reach our target.

However, sometimes the Gelfand-Phillips property lifts from E to cabv (λ, E) ; of course we necessarily have that c_0 does not embed into $cabv(\lambda, E)$ and hence into E. So if, for instance, E has the Gelfand-Phillips property and

the Radon-Nikodym property, then the Gelfand-Phillips property lifts from E to $cabv(\lambda, E)$ (use results in [4]). Furthermore, let us suppose that E is a Banach lattice not containing copies of c_0 ; thus $cabv(\lambda, E)$ is a Banach lattice ([19]). So if E is a dual Banach lattice or if E^{**} is weakly sequentially complete, then $cabv(\lambda, E)$ does not contain copies of c_0 ([20]) and so it has the Gelfand-Phillips property, as any Banach lattice not containing copies of c_0 does. Using similar reasonings, the quoted result from the paper [19], Proposition 1, Corollary 4 and Corollary 5 we can also state the following

Proposition 7. If E is a Banach lattice, then the following facts are equivalent:

- 1) c_0 does not embed into $cabv(\lambda, E)$
- 2) l_{∞} does not embed into cabv (λ, E)
- 3) $cabv(\lambda, E)$ has the Gelfand-Phillips property.

If E is a dual Banach lattice, then (1), (2) and (3) above are equivalent to

- 4) c_0 does not embed into E
- 5) l_{∞} does not embed into E
- 6) c_0 does not embed complementably into cabv(λ, E)
- 7) E has the Gelfand-Phillips property.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Bourgain G. Pisier, A construction of \mathcal{L}_{∞} -spaces and related Banach spaces, Bol. Soc. Bras. Mat., 14 (2) (1983), pp. 109–123.
- [2] N. Dinculeanu, Vector Measures, Pergamon Press, New York, 1967.
- [3] P. Dowling, A stability property of a class of Banach spaces not containing c₀, Canad. Math. Bull., 35 (1992), pp. 56–60.
- [4] L. Drewnowski G. Emmanuele, On Banach spaces with the Gelfand-Phillips property, II, Rendiconti Circolo Mat. Palermo, 38 (1989), pp. 377–391.
- [5] L. Drewnowski G. Emmanuele, The problem of the complementability between some spaces of vector measures of bounded variation with values in Banach spaces containing copies of c₀, Studia Math., 104 (2) (1993), pp. 111–123.
- [6] J. Diestel J.J. Uhl jr., *Vector Measures*, Math. Surveys, 15, Amer. Math. Soc., 1977.
- [7] J. Diestel J.J. Uhl jr., *Progress in Vector Measures, 1977-83*, in Proceedings of a Conference held at Sherbrooke, Québec, Canada, J.M. Belley, J. Dubois, P. Morales (eds.), Lecture Notes in Math., 1033, pp. 144–192, Springer Verlag, 1983.

- [8] G. Emmanuele, The (BD) property in $L^1(\mu, E)$, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 36 (1987), pp. 229–230.
- [9] G. Emmanuele, On complemented copies of c_0 in Banach spaces, Extracta Math., 3 (1988), pp. 98–100.
- [10] G. Emmanuele, On complemented copies of c_0 in L_X^p , $1 \le p \le \infty$, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 104 (1988), pp. 785–786.
- [11] G. Emmanuele, Copies of l_{∞} in Köthe spaces of vector valued functions, Illinois J. Math., 36 (1992), pp. 293–296.
- [12] G. Emmanuele, On Banach spaces with the Gelfand-Phillips property, III, J. Math. Pures Appl., 72 (1993), pp. 327–333.
- [13] G. Emmanuele, Remarks on the complementability of spaces of Bochner integrable functions in spaces of vector measures, to appear.
- [14] S. Kwapien, On Banach spaces containing c_0 , Studia Math., 52 (1974), pp. 187–188.
- [15] J. Mendoza, Copies of l_{∞} in $L^{p}(\mu, X)$, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 109 (1990), pp. 125–127.
- [16] H.P. Rosenthal, On relatively disjoint families of measures, with some applications to Banach space theory, Studia Math., 37 (1970), pp.13–36.
- [17] E. Saab P. Saab, A stability property of a class of Banach spaces not containing complemented copies of l_1 , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 84 (1982), pp. 44–46.
- [18] T. Schlumprecht, *Limites sets in Banach spaces*, Ph. D. Dissertation, München 1987.
- [19] K. Schmidt, Decomposition of vector measures in Riesz spaces and Banach lattices, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc., 29 (1986), pp. 23–39.
- [20] M. Talagrand, Weak Cauchy sequences in $L^1(E)$, Amer. J. Math., 106 (1984), pp. 704–728.
- [21] M. Talagrand, Quand l'espace des mesures à variation bornée est-il faiblement séquentiellement complet?, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 90 (1984), pp. 285–288.
- [22] J.J. Uhl jr., Extensions and decompositions of vector measures, J. London Math. Soc., (2) 3 (1971), pp. 672–676.

Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Catania, Viale A. Doria 6, 95125 Catania (ITALY)