

SPACES OF BOCHNER INTEGRABLE FUNCTIONS AND SPACES OF REPRESENTABLE OPERATORS AS \mathcal{U} -IDEALS

By G. EMMANUELE and T. S. S. R. K. RAO¹

[Received 10 July 1995; in revised form 19 March 1996]

Introduction

In this paper we study the geometry of the space of Bochner integrable functions as a subspace of the space of vector valued countably additive measures of finite variation and that of the space of representable operators as a subspace of the space of bounded linear operators.

Let E be a Banach space and $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ a finite measure space; let $cabv(\mu, E)$ denote the space of countably additive E -valued measures of finite variation that are absolutely continuous with respect to μ . Drewnowski and Emmanuele [6] have proved recently that if E has a copy of c_0 then $L^1(\mu, E)$, the space of Bochner integrable functions, is not complemented in $cabv(\mu, E)$. However, if one is interested in weaker geometric conditions like being locally 1-complemented (recall from [12], that a closed subspace Y of a Banach space X is said to be locally 1-complemented if Y^\perp is the kernel of a norm one projection in X^* ; such a subspace was called an "ideal" in [9]) then $L^1(\mu, E)$ is always locally 1-complemented in $cabv(\mu, E)$. Similarly $\mathcal{R}(L^1(\mu), E)$ the space of representable operators from $L^1(\mu)$ to E is a locally 1-complemented subspace of $\mathcal{L}(L^1(\mu)E)$, the space of bounded linear operators (see [14]). Here again, if E has a copy of c_0 then $\mathcal{R}(L^1(\mu), E)$ is not complemented in $\mathcal{L}(L^1(\mu), E)$ (see [8]).

These results suggest that better and more reasonable geometric properties to study in this context are the notions of \mathcal{U} -ideal and \mathcal{U} -summand recently introduced by Godefroy, Kalton and Saphar in [9]. Let us recall from [9], that a subspace Y of X is said to be a \mathcal{U} -summand if Y is the range of a (unique) projection P in X satisfying $\|I - 2P\| = 1$ and Y is said to be a \mathcal{U} -ideal if Y^\perp is a \mathcal{U} -summand in X^* . Since the condition $\|I - 2P\| = 1$ implies $\|P\| = 1$ (and $\|I - P\| = 1$) any \mathcal{U} -ideal is clearly an ideal. Following the approach of Godefroy et al, who use the notion of unconditional compact approximation property (UKAP) to study similar questions in the context of the space of compact operators,

¹ Work partly supported by a research grant from the C.N.R. of Italy.

in the first part of this paper we introduce the notion of a Radon-Nikodym approximation property and show that if E has such a property then $L^1(\mu, E)$ is a \mathcal{U} -ideal in $cabv(\mu, E)$. After exhibiting a class of Banach spaces that satisfy this property, we show that taking quotient by suitable subspaces leads to more natural examples of this phenomenon.

Let us recall from [4] that, given an operator $T: L^1(\mu) \rightarrow E$, there is a $G \in cabv(\mu, E)$ such that

$$\|G(A)\| \leq \mu(A) \|T\| \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{A},$$

and conversely any such G corresponds to a $T \in \mathcal{L}(L^1(\mu), E)$. Further such a T is said to be representable if there exists a $g \in L^\infty(\mu, E)$ such that $T(f) = \int fg \, d\mu$ for all $f \in L^1(\mu)$ and $T \rightarrow g$ is an onto-isometry between $\mathcal{R}(L^1(\mu), E)$ and $L^\infty(\mu, E)$. Hence by analogy with the situation described above for the space $L^1(\mu, E)$, we study in the second half of this paper the \mathcal{U} -ideal question for $\mathcal{R}(L^1(\mu), E)$ as a subspace of $\mathcal{L}(L^1(\mu), E)$. Motivated by a recent observation of the first author (see [8]) that when $L^1(\mu, E)$ is an L -summand in $cabv(\mu, E)$ then $\mathcal{R}(L^1(\mu), E)$ is complemented in $\mathcal{L}(L^1(\mu), E)$ by a projection of norm one, we show that if $L^1(\mu, E)$ is a \mathcal{U} -summand in $cabv(\mu, E)$ and the corresponding projection commutes with characteristic projections then $\mathcal{R}(L^1(\mu), E)$ is a \mathcal{U} -summand in $\mathcal{L}(L^1(\mu), E)$. For any Banach space Y such that Y^* is separable and $X = \mathcal{K}(Y, L^1[0, 1]) = \mathcal{L}(Y, L^1[0, 1])$ we show also that $\mathcal{R}(L^1(\mu), X)$ is a \mathcal{U} -summand in $\mathcal{L}(L^1(\mu), X)$.

All the Banach spaces considered in this paper are over the real scalar field. We refer to the monograph [4] for the terminology and results related to vector measures and to [10] for concepts from L and M -structure theory

Section 1

In this section we introduce the notion of a Radon-Nikodym approximating sequence and after considering an example we show that when E has such an approximating sequence of operators then $L^1(\mu, E)$ is a \mathcal{U} -ideal in $cabv(\mu, E)$. We also consider this question for certain quotient spaces.

Definition. Let E be a Banach space and $T_n \in \mathcal{L}(E)$ be a sequence of operators each one factoring through a Banach space having the Radon-Nikodym property. We say that $\{T_n\}$ is a Radon-Nikodym approximating sequence if $\|T_n(x) - x\| \rightarrow 0$ for all $x \in X$. Such a sequence is said to be unconditional if $\limsup_n \|I - 2T_n\| \leq 1$.

Clearly any unconditional compact or weakly compact approximating sequence satisfies the above property.

We are now ready to prove the main result of this section.

THEOREM 1. *Let E be a Banach space admitting a unconditional Radon-Nikodym approximating sequence. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ be a finite measure space. Then $L^1(\mu, E)$ is a \mathcal{U} -ideal in $cabv(\mu, E)$.*

Proof. Let $\{R_n\}$ be such a sequence of operators. We define an operator $P: cabv(\mu, E)^* \rightarrow cabv(\mu, E)^*$ in the following way. Let $\wedge \in cabv(\mu, E)^*$, $v \in cabv(\mu, E)$. Since R_n factors through a Banach space having the Radon-Nikodym property, clearly $R_n v$ has a Bochner density w.r.t. μ , i.e. $R_n v \in L^1(\mu, E)$; it also is a bounded sequence.

Put $P(\wedge)(v) = L(\{\wedge(R_n v)\})$ where L is a Banach limit of norm one. Clearly P is a well defined linear map. We first note that if $v \in L^1(\mu, E)$, then $R_n v \rightarrow v$ weakly. Thus $\wedge(R_n v) \rightarrow \wedge(v)$ in this case and $P(\wedge)(v) = \wedge(v)$.

To see this observe that since $\|R_n x - x\| \rightarrow 0$, $\|R_n v(s)\| \rightarrow 0$ a.e. Recall from [5] that elements of $L^1(\mu, E)^*$ are represented by $g^*: \Omega \rightarrow E^*$, a w^* -measurable function, such that $s \rightarrow \|g^*(s)\|$ is in $L^\infty(\mu)$. Thus

$$|g^*(s)(R_n v(s) - v(s))| \rightarrow 0$$

almost everywhere and

$$|g^*(s)(R_n v(s) - v(s))| \leq h \|v(s)\| \|g^*(s)\|$$

where $h = 1 + \sup_n \|R_n\|$. Therefore by the Lebesgue Dominated convergence theorem we get that $R_n v \rightarrow v$ weakly. From this it is clear that $\text{Ker } P = L^1(\mu, E)^\perp$. Also

$$\begin{aligned} P(P(\wedge))(v) &= L(\{P(\wedge)(R_n v)\})(\{P(\wedge)(R_n v)\}) \\ &= L(\{\wedge(R_n v)\}) = P(\wedge)(v) \end{aligned}$$

for any $\wedge \in cabv(v, E)^*$ and $v \in cabv(\mu, E)$. Therefore P is a projection. Finally, fix $\wedge \in cabv(\mu, E)^*$, $\|\wedge\| = 1$ and $v \in cabv(\mu, E)$, $\|v\| = 1$. Using standard properties of Banach limits we have:

$$\begin{aligned} |\wedge(v) - 2L(\{\wedge(R_n v)\})| &= |L(\{\wedge(v) - 2\wedge(R_n v)\})| \\ &= |L(\{\wedge(v - 2R_n v)\})| \\ &\leq \limsup_n \|I - 2R_n\| \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\|I - 2P\| \leq 1$. Thus $L^1(\mu, E)$ is a \mathcal{U} -ideal in $cabv(\mu, E)$.

The next proposition gives a procedure for constructing spaces that satisfy the hypothesis of the above theorem, among spaces of operators.

A Banach space F is said to have the unconditional metric approximation property (UMAP) if there is a sequence $\{K_n\}$ of finite rank operators with $\|K_n(x) - x\| \rightarrow 0$ for all $x \in F$ and $\lim_n \|I - 2K_n\| = 1$ (see [1]).

PROPOSITION 1. *Let E be a Banach space such that E^* has the Radon-Nikodym property and let F be a Banach space having the UMAP. Then $K(E, F)$ admits an unconditional Radon-Nikodym approximating sequence.*

Proof. Let $\{K_n\}$ be a sequence of finite-rank operators in F such that $\|K_n(x) - x\| \rightarrow 0$ for all $x \in F$ and $\lim_n \|I - 2K_n\| = 1$. Define

$$K_n: \mathcal{H}(E, F) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(E, F) \quad \text{by } K_n(T) = K_n \circ T$$

Since K_n is a finite-rank operator, K_n takes values into some $\mathcal{H}(E, F')$ where F' is a finite dimensional subspace of F . Since E^* has the RNP, $\mathcal{H}(E, F')$ has the RNP (see [3]). Also since T is a compact operator

$$\|K_n \circ T - T\| \rightarrow 0$$

Therefore $\{K_n\}$ is a Radon-Nikodym approximating sequence. A routine verification shows that the sequence $\{K_n\}$ is unconditional.

Remark 1. Note that when E is infinite dimensional, it follows from a result of Vala [17], that K_n is not a compact operator. Also if E is reflexive and F has the UKAP, then it follows from a result of Saksman-Tylli [16] that the K_n constructed above is a weakly compact operator. To ensure non-triviality $K(E, F)$ should of course fail the RNP (take E reflexive and $F = \ell^p$, $1 < p < \infty$, see [3]).

More examples of this nature can be constructed by starting from a E that has the unconditional Radon-Nikodym approximation by a sequence of operators say R_n and a subspace F of E such that $R_n(F) \subset F$ and restricting the R'_n s to F . The following example illustrates this.

EXAMPLE. Suppose E and F are such that $K(E, F)$ has an unconditional Radon-Nikodym approximating sequence $\{R_n\}$ constructed as above. Let $G \subset E$ be a closed subspace. Note that $K(E/G, F)$ is isometric to a subspace of $K(E, F)$ via the map $T \rightarrow T \circ \pi$. Clearly $R_n(K(E/G, F)) \subset K(E/G, F)$. Therefore the restriction of R'_n s to $K(E/G, F)$ works.

Remark 2. Note that for an infinite dimensional separable nonreflexive E such that $K(E)$ is an M -ideal in $L(E)$ then E contains an isomorphic copy of c_0 (see [10]) and by a result of Kalton [10, page 299] we have that E has a compact unconditional approximating sequence.

Remark 3. If $L^1(\mu, E)$ is a \mathcal{U} -ideal in $cabv(\mu, E)$ and E has no isomorphic copy of c_0 , then it follows from a result of Kwapien [11] that $L^1(\mu, E)$ has no copy of c_0 . Hence by applying Theorem 3.5 of [9] we get that $L^1(\mu, E)$ is a \mathcal{U} -summand in $cabv(\mu, E)$.

The next proposition will be used to show that $L^1(\mu, E)$ is a \mathcal{U} -ideal in another naturally occurring superspace.

PROPOSITION 2. *Let $E \subset F \subset G$. If E is a \mathcal{U} -ideal in F and F is an L -summand in G then E is a \mathcal{U} -ideal in G .*

Proof. Let $P: G \rightarrow G$ be the L -projection i.e., $\|Pg\| + \|g - Pg\| = \|g\|$ for all $g \in G$ whose range is F . To show that E is a \mathcal{U} -ideal in G , it is enough to verify the "local characterization" given by Proposition 3.6 in [9]. Accordingly, let \mathcal{F} be a finite dimensional subspace of G and let $\epsilon > 0$. Since E is a \mathcal{U} -ideal in F , for this $\epsilon > 0$ and for $P(\mathcal{F})$ there exists an operator $L: P(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow E$ such that $L(x) = x$ for $x \in P(\mathcal{F}) \cap E$ and

$$\|x - 2L(x)\| \leq (1 + \epsilon) \|x\| \quad \forall x \in F.$$

Now if $L' = L \circ P: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow E$ then $L' = L$ on $E \cap \mathcal{F}$.

Also for $x \in G$

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - 2L'(x)\| &= \|x - 2L(P(x))\| \\ &\leq \|x - P(x)\| + \|P(x) - 2L(P(x))\| \\ &\leq \|x - P(x)\| + (1 + \epsilon) \|P(x)\| \\ &\leq (1 + \epsilon)(\|x - Px\| + \|P(x)\|) \\ &= (1 + \epsilon) \|x\|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore E is a \mathcal{U} -ideal in G .

COROLLARY 1. *For a compact Hausdorff space Ω and a finite regular Borel measure μ on the Borel σ -field, if $L^1(\mu, E)$ is a \mathcal{U} -ideal in $rcabv(\mu, E)$ (regular measures) then it is a \mathcal{U} -ideal in $rcabv(E)$.*

Proof. It is well-known that the Lebesgue decomposition is a L -projection from $rcabv(E)$ onto $rcabv(\mu, E)$. Hence the conclusion follows from the above proposition.

If $L^1(\mu, E)$ is a \mathcal{U} -ideal in $\text{cabv}(\mu, E)$ in some special situations, for a subspace $F \subset E$, $L^1(\mu, E/F)$ will be an \mathcal{U} -ideal in $\text{cabv}(\mu, E/F)$. $L^1(\mu, E/F)$ can be identified with the quotient space $L^1(\mu, E)/L^1(\mu, F)$ in the canonical way. However the same is not in general true of $\text{cabv}(\mu, E/F)$ and the quotient space $\text{cabv}(\mu, E)/\text{cabv}(\mu, F)$.

PROPOSITION 3. *Suppose E admits an unconditional Radon-Nikodym approximating sequence, say R_n . If $F \subset E$ is an M -ideal such that $R_n(F) \subset F$ for all n , then for any separable measure space $L^1(\mu, E/F)$ is an \mathcal{U} -ideal in $\text{cabv}(\mu, E/F)$.*

Proof. We first show that $Q: \text{cabv}(\mu, E) \rightarrow \text{cabv}(\mu, E/F)$ defined by $Q(v) = \pi \circ v$ is a quotient map. Let $v \in \text{cabv}(\mu, E/F)$. Then there is a bounded linear map $T: L^1(|v|) \rightarrow E/F$ such that $T(\chi_A) = v(A)$.

Since $L^1(|v|)$ is separable space with the MAP and F is an M -ideal in E by Theorem 2.1 in [10], we get a lifting $\hat{T}: L^1(|v|) \rightarrow E$. Now define $\hat{v}: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow E$ by

$$\hat{v}(A) = \hat{T}(\chi_A)$$

Then $\hat{v} \in \text{cabv}(\mu, E)$ and $Q(\hat{v}) = v$. By hypothesis we have that $L^1(\mu, E)$ is a \mathcal{U} -ideal in $\text{cabv}(\mu, E)$. Let $P: \text{cabv}(\mu, E)^* \rightarrow \text{cabv}(\mu, E)^*$ be the \mathcal{U} -projection with $\text{Ker } P = L^1(\mu, E)^\perp$.

Since $\text{cabv}(\mu, F/F) = \text{cabv}(\mu, E)/\text{cabv}(\mu, F)$, $\text{cabv}(\mu, E/F)^* = \text{cabv}(\mu, F)^\perp \subset \text{cabv}(\mu, E)^*$.

Therefore $P: \text{cabv}(\mu, E/F)^* \rightarrow \text{cabv}(\mu, E/F)^*$ is a \mathcal{U} -projection with $\text{Ker } P = L^1(\mu, E/F)^\perp$ (here is where the definition of P and the fact that $R_n(F) \subset F$ is being used). Hence $L^1(\mu, E/F)$ is a \mathcal{U} -ideal in $\text{cabv}(\mu, E/F)$.

Section 2

In this section we consider the \mathcal{U} -ideal question for the space of representable operators $\mathcal{R}(L^1(\mu), E)$ as a subspace of $\mathcal{L}(L^1(\mu), E)$. Note that unlike the $L^1(\mu, E)$ situation, $\mathcal{R}(L^1(\mu), E)$ always has an isometric copy of c_0 as this space is isometric to $L^\infty(\mu, E)$.

For any $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $v \in \text{cabv}(\mu, E)$, by v/A we denote the measure on \mathcal{A} defined by $v/A(B) = v(A \cap B)$. The projection $v \rightarrow v/A$ in $\text{cabv}(\mu, E)$ is called a characteristic projection.

THEOREM 1. *Let $P: \text{cabv}(\mu, E) \rightarrow \text{cabv}(\mu, E)$ be a \mathcal{U} -projection (i.e. $\|I - 2P\| = 1$) with $\text{Range } P = L^1(\mu, E)$. Assume further that P commutes with the projection $v \rightarrow v/A$ for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Then $R(L^1(\mu), E)$ is a \mathcal{U} -summand in $\mathcal{L}(L^1(\mu), E)$.*

Proof. Let $T \in L(L^1(\mu), E)$. As we mentioned in the 'Introduction', there exists a unique $\nu \in cabv(\mu, E)$, given by

$$\nu(A) = T(\chi_A)$$

verifying the relation

$$\|T\| = \sup \left\{ \frac{\|\nu(A)\|}{\lambda(A)} : A \in \mathcal{A}, \lambda(A) > 0 \right\};$$

and conversely given a $\nu \in cabv(\mu, E)$ with the supremum on the right hand side finite, there corresponds a $T \in L(L^1(\mu), E)$. We first claim that under the given hypothesis $P(\nu)$ gives rise to a representable operator in $L(L^1(\mu), E)$. Let $A \in \mathcal{A}$; since P commutes with the projection $\nu \rightarrow \nu/A$, we have $P(\nu)/A = P(\nu/A)$.

Therefore

$$|P(\nu)|(A) = |P(\nu)/A| = |P(\nu/A)| \leq |\nu/A| \leq \|T\| \lambda(A).$$

(Here $|\cdot|$ denotes the total variation and then the total variation norm in $cabv(\mu, E)$.)

Thus we can define a map

$$\tilde{P}: \mathcal{L}(L^1(\mu), E) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(L^1(\mu), E)$$

by

$$\tilde{P}(T) = P(\nu).$$

It is easy to verify that \tilde{P} is a well defined linear projection whose range is in $\mathcal{R}(L^1(\mu), E)$. To verify that $\|I - 2\tilde{P}\| = 1$, fix $T \in \mathcal{L}(L^1(\mu), E)$, $\|T\| = 1$. Let ν correspond to T . By the defining property, we need to show that $\|\nu(A) - 2P(\nu)(A)\| \leq \lambda(A)$ for any $A \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\lambda(A) > 0$.

But

$$\|\nu(A) - 2P(\nu)(A)\| \leq |\nu - 2P\nu|(A) \leq \lambda(A)$$

since

$$\|I - 2P\| = 1.$$

Therefore $R(L^1(\mu), E)$ is a \mathcal{U} -summand in $\mathcal{L}(L^1(\mu), E)$.

Remark 1. There are several natural situations where the hypothesis of the above Theorem is satisfied. For instance if P is an L -projection then since $\nu \rightarrow \nu/A$ is an L -projection and since any two L -projections in a space commute (see [10] Theorem I 1.10) the hypothesis is satisfied. Also, when E is a Banach lattice not containing c_0 it is known that the projection P is a band projection ([2]) as well as $\nu \rightarrow \nu/A$ is, for any

$A \in \mathcal{A}$; and so they commute. In this last case we have a μ -projection (see [9]), that, in general, is not a L -projection.

In the next lemma we give another way of obtaining a commuting projection. Let $\pi: E \rightarrow E/F$ be the quotient map. Define $Q: cabv(\mu, E) \rightarrow cabv(\mu, E/F)$ by

$$Q(v) = \pi \circ v.$$

Assume that

- 1) Q is a quotient map and
- 2) $P(cabv(\mu, F)) \subset L^1(\mu, F)$.

Then $\tilde{P}: cabv(\mu, E/F) \rightarrow L^1(\mu, E/F)$ defined as $\tilde{P}(Q(v)) = Q(P(v))$ is a well defined map and is an onto projection (see [7]).

LEMMA 1. *Let $P: cabv(\mu, E) \rightarrow L^1(\mu, E)$ be a projection that commutes with characteristic projections. Let $F \subset E$ be a closed subspace and suppose that the two conditions mentioned above are satisfied. Then \tilde{P} again commutes with characteristic projections.*

Proof. Fix $A \in \mathcal{A}$. For any $B \in \mathcal{A}$

$$\begin{aligned} (Q(v)/A)(B) &= \pi(v(A \cap B)) \\ &= \pi(v/A(B)) \\ &= Q(v/A)(B). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$Q(v)/A = Q(v/A).$$

Since P commutes with characteristic projections, it is clear now that \tilde{P} also commutes with characteristic projections.

We now give an example to illustrate this situation using concepts and results from the work of Godefroy, see [10], Chapter IV. We also keep to the notation of that monograph. We first need a lemma.

LEMMA 2. *If $E \subset F \subset G^*$ and E is w^* -closed in G^* , then $Q: cabv(\mu, F) \rightarrow cabv(\mu, F/E)$ defined by $Q(v) = \pi \circ v$ is a quotient map.*

Proof. Let $v \in cabv(\mu, F/E)$. Clearly $v \in cabv(\mu, G^*/E)$. It follows from the proof of Corollary 7 in [7], that there exists a $\tilde{v} \in cabv(\mu, G^*)$ such that

$$\pi(\tilde{v}(A)) = v(A) \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{A}$$

Fix $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and let $\pi(x) = v(A) = \pi(\tilde{v}(A))$ for some $x \in F$.

Since $x - \bar{v}(A) \in E \subset F$, we get that

$$\bar{v}(A) \in F.$$

Therefore $\bar{v} \in cabv(\mu, F)$ and $Q(\bar{v}) = v$.

EXAMPLE. Let T be the unit circle and let \wedge be a Riesz subset of \mathbb{Z} that is not nicely placed. Then L^1_\wedge is a w^* -closed subspace of $C(T)^*$ having the Radon-Nikodym property. Therefore $Q: cabv(\mu, L^1) \rightarrow cabv(\mu, L^1/L^1_\wedge)$ is a quotient map. Further since L^1_\wedge has the RNP we have $P(cabv(\mu, L^1_\wedge)) = P(L^1(\mu, L^1_\wedge))$, where $P: cabv(\mu, L^1) \rightarrow L^1(\mu, L^1)$ is an L -projection (hence commutes with characteristic projections; see [8], [13] for the existence of this projection).

Thus the projection:

$$\tilde{P}: cabv(\mu, L^1/L^1_\wedge) \rightarrow L^1(\mu, L^1/L^1_\wedge)$$

commutes with characteristic projections.

Observe that we are assuming the \wedge is not a nicely placed set to ensure that this example does not obviously follow from the lifting properties enjoyed by quotients of L -embedded spaces by L -embedded subspaces.

Our next result gives another class of Banach spaces for which $R(L^1(\mu), E)$ is a \mathcal{U} -summand in $\mathcal{L}(L^1(\mu), E)$. In it we shall assume that F is a Banach space such that F^* is separable and $\mathcal{K}(F, L^1[0, 1]) = \mathcal{L}(F, L^1[0, 1])$. (This for example is satisfied if F^* has the Schur property, in particular when $F = c_0$. Also for any $p > 2$, $\mathcal{K}(L^p, L^1[0, 1]) = \mathcal{L}(L^p, L^1[0, 1])$.)

THEOREM 2. Let F be a Banach space such that $E = \mathcal{K}(F, L^1[0, 1]) = \mathcal{L}(F, L^1[0, 1])$ and F^* separable. Then $R(L^1(\mu), E)$ is a \mathcal{U} -summand in the space $\mathcal{L}(L^1(\mu), E)$.

Proof. From Theorem 1 and the subsequent remarks we have that there exists a \mathcal{U} -projection $P: \mathcal{L}(L^1(\mu), L^1[0, 1]) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(L^1(\mu), L^1[0, 1])$ with range $P = \mathcal{R}(L^1(\mu), L^1[0, 1])$. Fix $T \in \mathcal{L}(L^1(\mu), E)$. Let v be the E -valued measure associated with T . For $x \in F$, define $v_x: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow L^1[0, 1]$ by $v_x(A) = v(A)(x)$. Clearly v_x is a countably additive vector measure.

Also

$$\|v_x(A)\| \leq \|x\| \|v(A)\| \leq \|x\| \|T\| \mu(E),$$

so that the operator corresponding to v_x (also denoted by v_x) is a member of the space $\mathcal{L}(L^1(\mu), L^1[0, 1])$. Now $P(v_x) \in L^\infty(\mu, L^1[0, 1])$ and $\|P(v_x)\|_\infty \leq \|T\| \|x\|$. Thus outside a null set N_x we have $\|P(v_x)(w)\| \leq \|x\| \|T\|$. Since F is separable, choose a dense sequence $\{x_n\}$ in the unit ball of F . For any n -tuple of rationals (r_1, \dots, r_n) by repeating the above process we get an element $P(v_{\sum_{i=1}^n r_i x_i})$ of

$L^\infty(\mu, L^1[0, 1])$ and a null set $N_{(r_1, \dots, r_n)}$ such that outside this null set

$$\|P(v_{\sum_{i=1}^n r_i x_i})(w)\| \leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n r_i x_i \right\| \|T\|.$$

Put $N = \bigcup_n \bigcup_{(r_1, \dots, r_n)} N_{(r_1, \dots, r_n)}$. Now $\mu(N) = 0$ and for $w \notin N$

$$\|P(v_{\sum_{i=1}^n r_i x_i})(w)\| \leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n r_i x_i \right\| \|T\|.$$

We also have for any $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and any n -tuple of rationals (r_1, \dots, r_n)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_A \sum_{i=1}^n r_i P(v_{x_i})(w) \, d\mu &= \sum_{i=1}^n r_i \int_A P(v_{x_i})(w) \, d\mu \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n r_i v(A)(x_i) = v(A) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n r_i x_i \right) \\ &= \int_A P(v_{\sum_{i=1}^n r_i x_i}) \, d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by the uniqueness of the representing function we get

$$P(v_{\sum_{i=1}^n r_i x_i}) = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i P(v_{x_i}) \text{ a.e.}$$

Hence, outside a suitable null set N

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n r_i P(v_{x_i})(w) \right\| \leq \|T\| \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n r_i x_i \right\|$$

Thus by a standard procedure we can define $g: \Omega \rightarrow E$ such that for $x \in F$ we have $g(w)(x) = P(v_x)(w)$ a.e. (this part of the proof follows the lines for the proof of the main theorem in [2]).

Since F^* is separable and $\mathcal{H}(F, L^1[0, 1]) = \mathcal{L}(F, L^1[0, 1])$ we have that E is a separable space. Therefore from the definition we have that g is strongly measurable. Also $\|g\|_\infty \leq \|T\|$. Therefore $\hat{P}: \mathcal{L}(L^1(\mu), E) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(L^1(\mu), E)$ defined by $\hat{P}(T) = g$ is a well defined projection whose range is $\mathcal{R}(L^1(\mu), E)$. It is also easy to verify that for $f \in L^1(\mu)$, $\hat{P}(T)(f) = P(\mathfrak{I} \circ T)(f)$ a.e. $x \in F$ (here $\mathfrak{I} \circ T: L^1(\mu) \rightarrow L^1[0, 1]$ is defined by $(\mathfrak{I} \circ T)(g) = T(g)(x)$). Now to show that $\|I - 2\hat{P}\| \leq 1$, fix T, f, x vectors of norm one in $\mathcal{L}(L^1(\mu), E)$, $L^1(\mu)$ and F respectively.

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(f)(x) - 2\hat{P}(T)(f)(x)\| &= \|T(f)(x) - 2P(\mathfrak{I} \circ T)(f)\| \\ &= \|(I - 2P)(\mathfrak{I} \circ T)(f)\| \\ &\leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\|I - 2P\| = 1$ so that $\mathcal{R}(L^1(\mu), E)$ is a \mathcal{U} -summand in $\mathcal{L}(L^1(\mu), E)$.

Acknowledgement

The second author is grateful to Professor Emmanuele and his colleagues at the Mathematics Department of the University of Catania for their hospitality during his visit in May 95. He is also grateful to the I.M.U. for a travel grant.

Note added: The first author has recently shown that if X is such that $L^1(\mu, X)$ is complemented in $cabv(\mu, X)$ by a projection that commutes with characteristic projections and F a Banach space with F^* separable and $K(F, X) = L(F, X)$ then again the conclusion of Theorem 2 (section 2) holds for $E = K(F, X)$.

REFERENCES

1. P. Casazza and N. J. Kalton, 'Notes on approximation properties in separable Banach spaces', in *Geometry of Banach Spaces*, P. F. X. Muller and W. Schachermayer, eds, *London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes* **158**, Cambridge University Press (1990), 49–63.
2. V. Caselles, 'A characterization of weakly sequentially complete Banach lattices', *Math. Z.* **190** (1985), 379–385.
3. J. Diestel and T. J. Morrison, 'The Radon-Nikodym Property for the space of operators', *Math. Nachr.* **92** (1979), 7–12.
4. J. Diestel and J. J. Uhl, Jr., 'Vector measures', *Math. Surveys* **15**, Amer. Math. Soc. (1977).
5. N. Dinculeanu, *Linear Operations on L^p -spaces, in Vector and Operator Valued Measures and Applications*, Academic Press (1973).
6. L. Drewnowski and G. Emmanuele, 'The problem of the complementability between some spaces of vector measures of bounded variation with values in Banach spaces containing copies of c_0 ', *Studia Math.* **104** (2) (1993), 111–123.
7. G. Emmanuele, 'Remarks on the complementability of spaces of Bochner integrable functions in spaces of vector measures', *Comm. Math. Univ. Carolinae* **37** (2) (1996) 217–228.
8. G. Emmanuele, 'On the position of the space of representable operators in the space of linear operators', *Comm. Math. Univ. Carolinae* (to appear).
9. G. Godefroy, N. J. Kalton and P. D. Saphar, 'Unconditional ideals in Banach spaces', *Studia Math.* **104** (1) (1993), 13–59.
10. P. Harmand, D. Werner and W. Werner, *M-ideals in Banach Spaces and Banach Algebras*, Lecture Notes in Math., **1547**, Springer-Verlag (1993).
11. S. Kwapien, 'On Banach spaces containing c_0 ', *Studia Math.* **44** (1972), 583–595.
12. A. Lima, 'The metric approximation property, non-one projections and intersection property of balls', *Israel J. Math.* **84** (1993), 451–475.
13. T. S. S. R. K. Rao, ' $L^1(\mu, E)$ as a complemented subspace of its bidual', *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sc.)* **104** (1994), 421–424.
14. T. S. S. R. K. Rao, 'On locally 1-complemented subspaces of Banach spaces' (to appear).
15. M. Talagrand, 'Weak Cauchy sequences in $L^1(E)$ ', *Amer. J. Math.* **106** (1984), 703–724.

16. E. Saksman and H. O. Tylli, 'Weak compactness of multiplication operators on spaces of bounded linear operators', *Math. Scand.* **70** (1992), 91–111.
17. K. Vala, 'On compact sets of compact operators', *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Al Math.* **351** (1964), 2–9.

*Department of Mathematics
University of Catania
Viale A. Doria, 6
95125 Catania, Italy
E-mail: emmanuele@dipmat.unict.it.*

*Statistical and Mathematics Unit
Indian Statistical Institute
R.V. College Post
Bangalore 560 059, India
E-mail: TSS@isibang.ernet.in.*