Answer to a Question by $M.Feder\ About\ K(X,Y)$

G. EMMANUELE

ABSTRACT. We show that a Banach space *E* constructed by Bourgain-Delbaen in 1980 answers a question put by Feder in 1982 about spaces of compact operators.

Let X, Y be two Banach spaces. By K(X, Y), W(X, Y), L(X, Y) we denote the Banach spaces of all compact, weakly compact and bounded linear operators from X into Y, respectively. In the paper [4] Feder put a question that in light of recent results in [3] can be reformulated as it follows

Question. Do Banach spaces X and Y exist so that $K(X, Y) \neq L(X, Y)$ and however K(X, Y) does not contain a copy of c_0 ?

Feders's question is related to the following problem: let us assume X, Y are such that $L(X, Y) \neq K(X, Y)$; is K(X, Y) uncomplemented in L(X, Y)?

The results in [3] and [4] show that the best result known is the following one: if c_0 embeds into K(X, Y), then K(X, Y) is uncomplemented in L(X, Y); so it remains to study the case of K(X, Y) containing no copy

Editorial Complutense. Madrid, 1993.

Work performed under the auspices of G.N.A.F.A. of C.N.R. and partially supported by M.U.R.S.T. of Italy (40%; 1990).

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification: 46A32, 46B20.

of c_0 , if such two spaces exist (i.e. if Feder's question has a positive answer).

Up to now, no answer to Feder's question has been given as far as we know.

In this short note we want to show that a Banach space constructed by Bourgain and Delbaen in[1] (before Feder's paper appeared) answers positively to the above question. The space E constructed by Bourgain and Delbaen is a \mathcal{L}_{∞} -space with the Radon-Nikodym property such that E^* is isomorphic to ℓ^1 . If we take X = Y = E we clearly get $K(X, Y) = W(X, Y) \neq L(X, Y)$. Now, let us assume that c_0 lives inside K(X, Y). We recall that $K(X, Y) \simeq K_{w'}(X^{**}, Y)$ and $W(X, Y) \simeq L_{w'}(X^{**}, Y)$ where $K_{w'}(X^{**}, Y)$, $L_{w'}(X^{**}, Y)$ denote the spaces of all w^*-w continuous compact, bounded operators from X^{**} into Y, respectively. So we can act in $K_{w}(X^{**}, Y)$. Let (T_n) be a copy of the unit vector basis of c_0 in $K_{w^*}(X^{**}, Y)$. For $X^{**} \in X^{**}$, the series $\Sigma T_n(X^{**})$ is unconditionally converging in Y and so, for any $\xi \in \ell_{\infty}$, the series $\Sigma \xi_n T_n(x^{**})$ is also unconditionally converging. It is not difficult to see that the map $\xi \to \Sigma \xi_n T_n$ defines a bounded, linear operator from ℓ_{∞} into $L(X^{**}, Y)$. We shall prove that, actually, $\Sigma \xi_n T_n \in \mathcal{L}_{w'}(X^{**}, Y)$. Let (x_a^{**}) be a w^* -null net in $B_{X''}$ and $y^* \in Y^*$. If we denote by φ_k the operator $\sum \xi_n T_n$, we have to show that

$$\lim \left| \varphi_{\xi}(x_{\alpha}^{**})(y^{*}) \right| = 0$$

Since $\Sigma \xi_n T_n^*(y^*)$ is unconditionally converging, we have

$$\lim_{n} \sup_{B_{x^{**}}} \sum_{p=n}^{\infty} |\xi_{p} T_{p}^{*}(y^{*})(x^{**})| = 0.$$

So, given $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sum_{p=n_0}^{\infty} |\xi_p T_p^*(y^*)(x_\alpha^{**})| < \varepsilon/2$ for all α ; since $x_\alpha^{**} \stackrel{w^*}{\longrightarrow} \theta$, it is obvius that

$$\lim_{\alpha} \sum_{p=1}^{n_0-1} |\xi_p T_p^*(y^*)(x_a^{**})| = 0,$$

and so for α sufficiently large we have

$$\sum_{p=1}^{n_0-1} |\xi_p T_p^*(y^*)(x_a^{**})| < \varepsilon/2.$$

Hence, for α sufficiently large, we get

$$\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \left| \xi_p T_p^*(y^*)(x_a^{**}) \right| < \varepsilon,$$

which means that

$$\lim_{\alpha} \left| \varphi_{\xi}(x_{\alpha}^{**})(y^{*}) \right| = 0.$$

Hence, $\Sigma \xi_n T_n \in L_{W^*}(X^{**}, Y)$. In this way, we have defined a bounded, linear operator from ℓ_{∞} into $L_{W^*}(X^{**}, Y) \simeq W(X, Y)$ such that the unit vector basis of c_0 is mapped onto a not relatively compact sequence. A result due to Rosenthal ([5]) implies that ℓ_{∞} must live inside W(X, Y). Since W(X, Y) = K(X, Y), ℓ_{∞} embeds into K(X, Y), too. But this contradicts a corollary of the main result of [2].

We also observe that in the paper [1] another class of Banach spaces F has been introduced; as remarked in the NOTES ADDED to our paper [3] if X=Y=F we get a second example of Banach spaces answering positively Feder's question; even in that case W(X, Y)=K(X, Y). So we can conclude this note with the following questions

Question A. Do Banach spaces X, Y exist so that $K(X, Y) \neq W(X, Y)$ and c_0 does not embed into K(X, Y)?

Question B. Let X = Y = E (or F) be the Bourgain-Delbaen space. Is K(X, Y) uncomplemented in L(X, Y)?

References

- [1] J. BOURGAIN, F. DELBAEN: A class of special \mathcal{L}_{∞} -spaces, Acta Math. 145 (1980), 155-176.
- [2] L. Drewnowsky: Copies of ℓ_{∞} in the operator space $K_{w^*}(E^*, F)$, Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. 108 (3) 1990, 523-526.
- [3] G. EMMANUELE: A remark on the containment of c_0 in spaces of compact operators, Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc., 111 (1992), 331-335,
- [4] M. FEDER: On the non-existence of a projection onto the spaces of compact operators, Canad. Math. Bull. 25 (1982), 78-81.

[5] H. P. ROSENTHAL: On relatively disjoint families of measures with some applications to Banach space theory, Studia Math. 37 (1970), 13-36.

Department of Mathematics University of Catania 95125. Catania Italy

Recibido: 17 de noviembre de 1992