

**SOME REMARKS ON THE POSITION OF THE SPACE  $K(X, Y)$   
INSIDE THE SPACE  $W(X, Y)$**

New Zealand Journal of Mathematics  
Volume 26, (1997), 183-189

**Posted here with the kind permission from the Editor**

**SOME REMARKS ON THE POSITION OF THE SPACE  $K(X, Y)$   
INSIDE THE SPACE  $W(X, Y)$ <sup>1</sup>**

G. Emmanuele and K. John

(Received March, 1996)

Abstract. We present some results showing that sometimes  $K(X, Y) = W(X, Y)$  and sometimes  $K(X, Y)$  is an u-ideal in  $W(X, Y)$  under suitable renormings

In this paper we want to collect several loosely connected statements about the position of the space  $K(X, Y)$  (of compact operators from a Banach space  $X$  into another Banach space  $Y$ ) inside the space  $W(X, Y)$  of weakly compact operators. We obtained them when trying to investigate the old problem of the complementability of  $K(X, Y)$  inside  $W(X, Y)$ . The first result we present, Proposition 1, gives conditions that imply the equality  $K(X, Y) = W(X, Y)$ , similarly to recent results in the paper [1]. Next we impose conditions on the Banach space  $X^*$  (or on  $X$  and  $X^{**}$ ) to get that if  $E$  is any isomorphic predual of  $X^*$ , then  $W(E)$  can be renormed so that  $K(E)$  is a u-ideal or a M-ideal in  $W(E)$  in this new norm. In the last part we reformulate the (compact) approximation property in terms of *ideals* in the sense that the subspace  $K(X)$  of the space  $L(X)$  of all operators is an ideal in  $L(X)$  if  $K(X)^0$  is complemented inside  $L(X)^*$ . In fact, this last observation is easy and is implicitly contained in the papers of Lima [7],[8].

**Results.** Our first result, as announced, concerns with the coincidence of the spaces  $K(X, Y)$  and  $W(X, Y)$

**Proposition 1.** *Let us suppose that  $K(X, Y)$  is weakly sequentially complete. Moreover, assume that  $X^*$  has the bounded compact approximation property and the Radon-Nikodym Property. Then  $K(X, Y) = W(X, Y)$ .*

**Proof.** Let us suppose there is a  $T \in W(X, Y) \setminus K(X, Y)$ ; it is possible to find a separable subspace  $X_0$  of  $X$  so that  $T_0 = T|_{X_0}$  is not compact and, thanks to a result in [4], we may also suppose there is an isometric embedding  $j$  of  $X_0^*$  into  $X^*$ . Moreover, since  $X^*$  has the

---

<sup>1</sup> **1991 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification:** 46B20, 46B28, 47B07.

**The work of the first author was supported by M.U.R.S.T. of Italy (40%,1993), the work of the second author was supported by the grants of AVČR No.A1019505 and GAČR No.201/94/0069.**

Radon-Nikodym Property, even  $X_0^*$  is separable. Hence, there is a sequence  $A_n \in K(X^*)$  such that

$$A_n(jx_0^*) \rightarrow jx_0^*$$

for all  $x_0^* \in X_0^*$ . Since  $jT_0^* : Y^* \rightarrow X^*$ , we get

$$A_n jT_0^*(y^*) \rightarrow jT_0^*(y^*)$$

for all  $y^* \in Y^*$ . Now, we recall that  $T_0$  is weakly compact and so we may affirm that  $A_n jT_0^*$  and  $jT_0^*$  are weak\*-weak continuous, i.e. they are conjugate operators. So there are  $Q_n \in K(X, Y), Q_0 \in W(X, Y)$  such that  $Q_n^* = A_n jT_0^*, Q_0^* = jT_0^*$ . From the above limit relationships, we get

$$Q_n^*(y^*)(x^{**}) \rightarrow Q_0^*(y^*)(x^{**})$$

which means that  $(Q_n)$  is a weak Cauchy sequence in  $K(X, Y)$  [6]. Since this last space is weakly sequentially complete, the sequence  $(Q_n)$  must converge weakly to some element of  $K(X, Y)$ , that clearly must coincide with  $Q_0$ . This gives that  $T_0$  must be compact, a contradiction concluding the proof.

To state the next result we repeat ([2]) that a separable Banach space  $X$  has the unconditional metric approximation property if there is a sequence  $(T_n)$  of compact operators on  $X$  such that  $\|I - 2T_n\| \rightarrow 1$  and  $\|x - T_n x\| \rightarrow 0$  for all  $x \in X$ . Note that then  $\limsup_n \|T_n\| \leq 2^{-1} \limsup_n (\|I\| + \|2T_n - I\|) \leq 1$ .

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space such that  $X^*$  is separable and has an equivalent (not necessarily dual) norm  $\|\cdot\|$  such that  $(X^*, \|\cdot\|)$  has the unconditional metric compact approximation property. Let  $Y$  be an arbitrary Banach space. Then there is an equivalent norm  $\|\cdot\|$  on  $W(X, Y)$  such that  $K(X, Y)$  is a  $u$ -ideal in  $(W(X, Y), \|\cdot\|)$ .*

**Proof.** We define, for  $f \in W(X, Y)$ ,

$$\|f\| \stackrel{def}{=} \|f^*\| = \sup_{|y^*| \leq 1} \|f^*(y^*)\|$$

Let  $(T_n) \subset K(X^*)$  be the unconditional compact approximating sequence existing by assumptions. Let  $\Phi \in K(X, Y)^*$  and  $f \in W(X, Y)$ . Then  $u_n(f) \stackrel{def}{=} T_n f^* \in K_{w^*}(Y^*, X^*)$ , so that  $u_n(f) = f_n^*$ , for suitable  $f_n \in K(X, Y)$ . Let us denote by  $A$  the index set formed by the unit ball of  $W(X, Y)$ ; then  $u_n$  maps  $A$  into some multiple  $B$  of the closed unit ball of  $K(X, Y)^{**}$ . Thus  $(u_n)$  is a sequence in the product space  $B^A$  and the latter space is

compact when considering the weak\* topology on  $B$ . Let  $(u_{n_\alpha})$  be a converging subnet; this means that for any  $f \in W(X, Y)$  and any  $\Phi \in K(X, Y)^*$  we may define

$$J(\Phi, f) = \lim_{\alpha} \Phi(f_{n_\alpha})$$

It is clear that  $J$  is a bilinear mapping and

$$|J(\Phi, f)| \leq \limsup_{\alpha} \Phi(f_{n_\alpha}) \leq \|\Phi\| \|f\| \limsup_n \|T_n\| \leq \|\Phi\| \cdot \|f\| \quad (1)$$

We also observe that for  $f \in K(X, Y)$  we have

$$J(\Phi, f) = \Phi(f) \quad \forall \Phi \in K(X, Y)^* \quad (2)$$

Indeed, if  $x^{**} \in X^{**}$ ,  $y^* \in Y^*$  and  $f \in K(X, Y)$ , we have

$$x^{**} f_n^*(y^*) = x^{**} T_n f^* y^* \rightarrow x^{**} f^* y^*$$

so that by Kalton ([6]) we have  $f_n \xrightarrow{w} f$ ; and (2) follows. If  $Re : W(X, Y)^* \rightarrow K(X, Y)^*$  is the restriction mapping, we may define a projection  $P$  in  $W(X, Y)^*$  by putting

$$P\Phi(f) = J(Re\Phi, f) \quad \forall \Phi \in W(X, Y)^*$$

$P$  is evidently a projection because (2) implies that  $ReP\Phi = Re\Phi$  and thus

$$(P^2\Phi)f = J(ReP\Phi, f) = J(Re\Phi, f) = P\Phi(f)$$

By (2) it is also clear that  $P^{-1}(0) = K(X, Y)^0$  where  $K(X, Y)^0 \stackrel{def}{=} \{\Phi \in W(X, Y)^*, \Phi|_{K(X, Y)} = 0\}$ . Finally we prove that

$$\|\Phi - 2P\Phi\| \leq \|\Phi\| \quad \forall \Phi \in W(X, Y)^* \quad (3)$$

so showing, by definition, that  $K(X, Y)$  is a u-ideal in  $(W(X, Y), \|\cdot\|)$ . Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi - 2P\Phi\| &= \sup_{\substack{\|f\| \leq 1 \\ f \in W(X, Y)}} \limsup_{\alpha} |\Phi(f) - 2\Phi(f_{n_\alpha})| \leq \\ &\|\Phi\| \sup_{\substack{\|f\| \leq 1 \\ f \in W(X, Y)}} \limsup_{\alpha} \|f^* - 2T_{n_\alpha} f^*\| \leq \|\Phi\|. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

We say that  $(K_n)$  is a countable compact approximation of the identity in a Banach space, if the sequence  $(K_n)$  converges to the identity in the strong operator topology. Now we may state

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space such that  $X^*$  has an equivalent (not necessarily dual) norm  $\|\cdot\|$  so that  $(X^*, \|\cdot\|)$  has a countable compact approximation  $(K_n)$  of the identity for which*

$$\limsup_n \|K_n S + (Id - K_n)T\| \leq \max(\|S\|, \|T\|) \quad (4)$$

for all  $S, T \in W(Y^*, X^*)$  with  $Y$  an arbitrary Banach space. Then there is an equivalent norm on  $W(X, Y)$  so that  $K(X, Y)$  is an  $M$ -ideal in  $W(X, Y)$ .

**Proof.** The proof is the same as that of the previous Proposition, with the only change that, instead of (3), we have to prove that

$$\|P\Phi\| + \|\Phi - P\Phi\| \leq \|\Phi\| \quad \forall \Phi \in (W(X, Y), \|\cdot\|)^* \quad (5)$$

But this is the case, because, given  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $\Phi$ , we find  $f \in W(X, Y)$  and  $g \in W(X, Y)$  with  $\|f\| = \|g\| = 1$  and  $\|P\Phi\| \leq P\Phi(f) + \epsilon$  and  $\|\Phi - P\Phi\| \leq \Phi(g) - P\Phi(g) + \epsilon$ . Furthermore, we find an  $n \in N$  such that  $P\Phi(f) \leq \Phi(f_n) + \epsilon$ ,  $P\Phi(g) \geq \Phi(g_n) - \epsilon$  and  $\|K_n f^* + (Id - K_n)g^*\| \leq 1 + \epsilon$ . Then

$$\|P\Phi\| + \|\Phi - P\Phi\| \leq 4\epsilon + \Phi(f_n + g - g_n) \leq 4\epsilon + \|\Phi\| \|K_n f^* + g^* - K_n g^*\| \leq 4\epsilon + \|\Phi\|(1 + \epsilon)$$

Because  $\epsilon$  is arbitrary, (5) follows.

**Remark 4.** The assumption (4) is, for instance, verified if  $X = Y$  and  $K(X^*, \|\cdot\|)$  is an  $M$ -ideal in  $L(X^*, \|\cdot\|)$  [6]. This assumption (4) is also satisfied if  $X^*$  is isomorphic to some  $Z^*$  with  $K(Z)$  an  $M$ -ideal in  $L(Z)$  and  $Z^{**}$  has the  $M^*$ -property. Indeed, let  $(K_n) \subset K(Z)$  be the shrinking approximation of the identity in  $Z$ , the existence of which is guaranteed by the Theorem VI.4.17 in [3], due to Kalton. Following the proof of the implication (vi)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) in this theorem, we have for any  $m \in N$

$$\limsup_n \|K_m + Id - K_n\| \leq \|Id - 2K_m\|.$$

If we show that for any  $S \in K(X^*), T \in W(X^*), \|S\|, \|T\| \leq 1$  we have

$$\limsup_n \|S + (Id - K_n^*)T\| \leq 1 \quad (6)$$

the result will follow. To prove (6) we again follow the Kalton's result quoted above: we fix  $m \in N$  and  $n \in N$  and we pick  $x_n^{**} \in X^{**}$  with norm 1 so that

$$\limsup_n \|K_m^* S + (Id - K_n^*) T\| = \limsup_n \|S^* K_m^{**} x_n^{**} + T^*(Id - K_n^{**}) x_n^{**}\|$$

We observe that  $(Id - K_n^{**})(x_n^{**}) \xrightarrow{w^*} 0$  and thus also  $[T^*(Id - K_n^{**})](x_n^{**}) \xrightarrow{w^*} 0$ ; indeed, let  $x^* \in X^*$ ; we have  $x^*[(Id - K_n^{**})x_n^{**}] = x_n^{**}(x^* - K_n^* x^*) \rightarrow 0$  since  $K_n^* x^* \rightarrow x^*$  in the norm topology. Also observe that  $(K_m^{**} x_n^{**})_n$  are contained in a relatively norm compact set; thus we get

$$\limsup_n \|S^* K_m^{**} x_n^{**} + T^*(Id - K_n^{**}) x_n^{**}\| \leq \limsup_n \|K_m^{**} x_n^{**} + T^*(Id - K_n^{**}) x_n^{**}\| \quad (7)$$

Now we observe that also the  $M^*$  analogue of Lemma 4.14 [3] holds: Suppose that  $X^*$  has property  $M^*$  and that  $T \in L(X)$  has norm less or equal to 1. If  $(u_\alpha), (v_\alpha)$  are relatively norm compact with  $\|u_\alpha\| \leq \|v_\alpha\|$  and a  $(x_\alpha)$  is a bounded net weak\* converging to zero, then

$$\limsup_\alpha \|u_\alpha + T x_\alpha\| \leq \limsup_\alpha \|v_\alpha + x_\alpha\|.$$

According to this Lemma we may continue the estimation (7):

$$\limsup_n \|K_m^{**} x_n^{**} + T^*(Id - K_n^{**}) x_n^{**}\| \leq \limsup_n \|(K_m^{**} + Id - K_n^{**}) x_n^{**}\| \leq \|Id - 2K_m\|.$$

Thus

$$\limsup_n \|S + (Id - K_n^*) T\| \leq \|S - K_m^* S\| + \|Id - 2K_m\|$$

This last inequality gives (6) for  $m$  large enough.

The last result we present relates the following generic notion of "ideal" (cf.[2]) to the approximation properties (cf.[7],[8]).

**Definition 5.** A closed subspace  $X$  of a Banach space  $Y$  is called an ideal with constant  $\lambda$  and projection  $P$  in  $Y$  if  $X^0$  is the kernel of a projection  $P$  in  $Y^*$  with  $\|P\| \leq \lambda$ .

In the next result, by  $x \otimes x^*$  where  $x \in X$  and  $x^* \in X^*$  we denote an element of  $L^*(X)$  and also its restriction to any subspace of  $L(X)$  such that for  $f \in L(X)$  we have  $(x \otimes x^*)f = x^*(fx)$ .

**Proposition 6.** The following are equivalent for any Banach space  $X$

- (1)  $X$  has the  $\lambda$ -bounded compact approximation property
- (2)  $K(X)$  is an ideal in  $L(X)$  with constant  $\lambda$  such that

$$x \otimes x^* \in \text{range } P \quad \forall \quad x \otimes x^* \quad (8)$$

(3) there is a bilinear form  $J : K^*(X) \times L(X) \rightarrow R$  such that  $\|J\| \leq \lambda$ ,  $J$  is equal to the canonical pairing  $\langle K^*(X), K(X) \rangle$  on  $K^*(X) \times K(X)$  and  $J(x \otimes x^*, f) = (x \otimes x^*)f$ , for all  $x \otimes x^*$  and  $f \in L(X)$

(4) there is an extension operator  $A : K^*(X) \rightarrow L^*(X)$  such that  $\|A\| \leq \lambda$  and  $A(x \otimes x^*) = x \otimes x^*$ , for all  $x \otimes x^*$

(5) there exists an operator  $B : L(X) \rightarrow K^{**}(X)$  such that  $B|_{K(X)}$  is the canonical injection of  $K(X)$  into  $K^{**}(X)$ ,  $\|B\| \leq \lambda$  and  $Bf(x \otimes x^*) = (x \otimes x^*)f$ , for all  $x \otimes x^*$

(6) for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , for any finite dimensional subspace  $F$  of  $L(X)$  and for any finite number of  $x_i \otimes x_i^*$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , there is an operator  $T : F \rightarrow K(X)$  such that  $\|T\| \leq \lambda + \epsilon$ ,  $Tf = f$  for any compact operator  $f \in F$  and  $(x_i \otimes x_i^*)Tf = (x_i \otimes x_i^*)f$ , for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,  $x \otimes x^*$ .

**Proof.** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) follows from a result by J.Johnson ([5]) and noting that in fact  $P\Phi(f) = \lim_{\alpha} \Phi(f_{\alpha}f)$  where  $\{f_{\alpha}\} \subset K(X)$  is suitably chosen with  $f_{\alpha}(x) \rightarrow f(x)$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $\|f_{\alpha}\| \leq \lambda$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). If  $P$  is the projection in the condition (2) we define  $J(\Phi, f) = (P\tilde{\Phi})f$ , where  $\tilde{\Phi}$  is any Hahn-Banach extension of  $\Phi$  to  $L(X)$ .  $J$  is well defined and linear also in  $\Phi$  because  $P(K(X)^0) = 0$ ; indeed, let  $\tilde{\Phi}_1, \tilde{\Phi}_2, \widetilde{\Phi_1 + \Phi_2}$  be Hahn-Banach extensions of  $\Phi_1, \Phi_2, \Phi_1 + \Phi_2 \in K(X)^*$ ; we have

$$J(\Phi_1 + \Phi_2, f) - J(\Phi_1, f) - J(\Phi_2, f) = P(\widetilde{\Phi_1 + \Phi_2} - \tilde{\Phi}_1 - \tilde{\Phi}_2)f = 0$$

because  $\widetilde{\Phi_1 + \Phi_2} - \tilde{\Phi}_1 - \tilde{\Phi}_2 \in K(X)^0$ . Similarly for the uniqueness

$$J(x \otimes x^*, f) = P(x \otimes x^*)f = (x \otimes x^*)f$$

(3)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (4). The relation between the operator  $A$  and the bilinear form  $J$  is given by

$$A\Phi(f) = J(\Phi, f)$$

The rest is trivial.

(3)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (5). Again the relation of  $J$  to  $B$  is

$$B(f)\Phi = J(\Phi, f)$$

(5)  $\Rightarrow$  (6). Let  $F \subset L(X)$  be a finite dimensional subspace and let  $x_i \otimes x_i^* \in X \otimes X^*$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . The principle of local reflexivity now offers for any  $\epsilon > 0$  an operator

$R : B(F) \rightarrow K(X)$  so that  $R(f) = f$  if  $f \in K(X) \cap B(F)$ ,  $\|R\| \leq 1 + \epsilon$  and taking each  $x_i \otimes x_i^*$  onto itself, for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Then  $T = RB_{L(X)}$  is the desired operator from  $L(X)$  into  $K(X)$ .

(6)  $\Rightarrow$  (5). Let us choose, for any finite dimensional subspace  $F \subset L(X)$  the operator  $T_F : F \rightarrow K(X)$  according to the condition (6). Let us extend this operator by zero to all of  $L(X)$  and denote this nonlinear operator again by  $T_F : L(X) \rightarrow K(X)$ . Considering  $K(X)$  embedded into  $K(X)^{**}$ , an usual compactness argument reveals that there is a subnet  $\{T_{F_\alpha}\}$  of the net  $\{T_F\}$  such that for every  $\Phi \in K(X)^*$ , the net  $\{T_{F_\alpha}(\Phi)\}$  is converging to some  $B(\Phi) \in K(X)^{**}$ . It is again trivial to check the properties of  $B$ .

(5)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Let  $\tilde{\Phi} = (\Phi_1, \Phi_2, \dots, \Phi_n)$  be an  $n$ -tuple of elements of  $K(X)^*$  and  $f \in L(X)$ . By the bipolar theorem we may now find an element  $f_{\tilde{\Phi}} \in K(X)$ , with  $\|f_{\tilde{\Phi}}\| \leq \lambda$ , such that

$$|\Phi_i(f_{\tilde{\Phi}}) - B(f)\Phi_i| < \frac{1}{n}$$

for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Evidently  $\{f_{\tilde{\Phi}}\}$  is a net in  $K(X)$  in a usual way. If now  $x \otimes x^* \in X \otimes X^*$  then evidently

$$x^*(f_{\tilde{\Phi}}(x)) \rightarrow B(f)(x \otimes x^*) = f(x \otimes x^*)$$

showing that  $\{f_{\tilde{\Phi}}\}$  tends to  $f$  in the weak operator topology. Now the result follows, for instance, by VI.4.9 in [3].

**Remark 7.** The proof above actually shows that  $f_{\tilde{\Phi}} \xrightarrow{w^*} B(f)$ . But evidently  $B = A^*/L$  so that for every  $\Phi \in K^*$  we have  $\Phi(f_{\tilde{\Phi}}) \rightarrow \Phi(Bf) = (A\phi)f$ , i.e.  $f_{\tilde{\Phi}} \rightarrow f$  in the weak topology  $w(L, AK^*) = w(L, PL^*)$ . Here and below we have set  $L = L(X)$  and  $K = K(X)$ .

**Remark 8.** It may easily be checked that the operators  $A$  and  $B$  are one to one and that  $\|A^{-1}\| \leq 1$ ,  $\|B^{-1}\| \leq 1$ . We also note that the "local reflexivity" mapping  $R$  in the proof of (5)  $\Rightarrow$  (6) may be chosen so that we have also  $\|R\| \cdot \|R^{-1}\| \leq 1 + \epsilon$ . This yields that (6) may be rephrased:

*The space  $L(X)$  is finitely representable in  $K(X)$  in such a way that the representations are identical for the elements of  $K(X)$  and the representations keep the duality with any finite subset of elements of the type  $x \otimes x^*$ .*

**Remark 9.** The condition (8) is evidently equivalent to  $A(x \otimes x^*) = x \otimes x^*$  in (4), or to  $Bf(x \otimes x^*) = (x \otimes x^*)f$  and similarly for  $T$  in (6). Evidently all the conditions (2) to (6) are again equivalent also without (8). From the Remark 4 it follows that they imply



1') For any  $f \in L(X)$  there exists a net  $\{f_\alpha\} \subset K(X)$  converging to  $f$  in the topology  $w(L, PL^*) = w(L, AK^*)$ .

Thus the property that  $K(X)$  forms an ideal with the constant  $\lambda$  in  $L(X)$  may formally be considered as a generalization of the concept of the  $\lambda$ -bounded compact approximation property.

Note that the condition (8) implies that  $PL^*$  is total on  $L$  and thus the topology  $w(L, PL^*)$  is Hausdorff.

**Remark 10.** The Proposition 6 and the remarks afterwards hold also for  $\lambda$ -bounded approximation property. In this case we just have to take for example the projection  $P$  in  $L^*$  to have the kernel equal to the polar  $F^0 \subset L^*$ , where  $F$  denotes the set of all finite dimensional operators in  $L$ .

**Remark 11.** Lima [7] proved that if  $X$  is an Asplund space and if  $\lambda = 1$  then the condition (i) is automatically implied by the rest of the conditions in the Proposition 6.

**Remark 12.** If  $X$  has the  $\lambda$ -bounded compact approximation property then  $K(X)$  cannot be reflexive. Indeed, the Proposition 6 yields then that  $K \subset L \subset K^{**} = K$ , identifying  $L$  with its image  $B(L)$  in  $K^{**}$ . Thus  $K = L$  which is not the case.

## References

1. G.Emmanuele, *Some remarks on the equality  $W(E, F^*) = K(E, F^*)$* , to appear.
2. G.Godefroy, N.J.Kalton, P.D.Saphar, *Unconditional ideals in Banach spaces*, Studia Math. 104 (1) 1993 13-59.
3. P.Harmand, D.Werner, W.Werner, *M-ideals in Banach spaces and Banach algebras*, Lecture Notes in Math., 1547, Springer, Berlin, 1993.
4. S.Heinrich, P.Mankiewicz, *Applications of ultrapowers to the uniform and Lipschitz classification of Banach spaces*, Studia Math. 73 (1982) 225-251.
5. J.Johnson, *Remarks on Banach spaces of compact operators*, J. Funct. Analysis 32 (1979) 304-311.
6. N.J.Kalton, *Spaces of compact operators*, Math. Annalen 208 (1974) 267-278.
7. Å.Lima, *The metric approximation property, norm one projections and intersection properties of balls*, Israel J. Math. 84 (1993) 451-475.
8. Å.Lima, *Property  $(wM^*)$  and the unconditional metric approximation property*, to appear.

G.Emmanuele  
Department of Mathematics  
University of Catania  
Viale A.Doria 6, 95125 Catania  
ITALY  
Emmanuele@Dipmat.Unict.It

K.John  
Mathematical Institute, Academy of Sciences  
Žitná 25, 11567 Praha 1  
CZECH REPUBLIC  
KJohn@Beba.Cesnet.Cz