

Remarks on the Uncomplemented Subspace $W(E, F)$ *

G. EMMANUELE

*Department of Mathematics, University of Catania,
95125 Catania, Italy*

Communicated by the Editors

Received September 27, 1989; revised February 24, 1990

We give some theorems showing that $W(E, F)$ is uncomplemented in $L(E, F)$. All of them (but Theorem 5) are proved by producing a complemented copy of c_0 inside of $W(E, F)$ and showing that this contradicts a possible complementation of $W(E, F)$ in $L(E, F)$. © 1991 Academic Press, Inc.

Let E, F be two Banach spaces. By $L(E, F)$ (resp. $W(E, F)$) we denote the Banach space of all bounded, linear (resp. bounded, linear, weakly compact) operators from E into F . Many papers (see [6–8] and their references) have been devoted to the following problem: when is $K(E, F) = \{\text{compact operators from } E \text{ into } F\}$ uncomplemented in $L(E, F)$? No results exist about the position of $W(E, F)$ inside of $L(E, F)$, as far as we know. The present note deals with this last question; more precisely, we prove that in special cases $W(E, F)$ is not complemented in $L(E, F)$. We note that the presence in $W(E, F)$ of a copy of c_0 does not avoid that $W(E, F) = L(E, F)$, as it happened in the case of $K(E, F)$ in special settings (see [6–8] and their references); for instance $W(l_2, l_2) = L(l_2, l_2)$ and $W(l_2, l_\infty) = L(l_2, l_\infty)$ both contain a copy of c_0 . Such a copy is not complemented in them, because they are dual Banach spaces.

Motivated by these remarks, we try to construct special complemented copies of c_0 inside of $W(E, F)$, and then prove that this fact is not compatible with a possible complementation of $W(E, F)$ inside of $L(E, F)$. In all of our results we shall assume that one (or more) of E, E^*, F, F^* contains a copy of c_0 , plus some other assumptions; after all, the above examples show that some strong hypothesis must be considered.

The technique we use in the case of $W(E, F)$ is, sometimes, useful even for detecting the possible complementation of $K(E, F)$ in $L(E, F)$, as we prove at the end of the paper giving a different proof of the following result

* Work performed under the auspices of G.N.A.F.A. of C.N.R. and partially supported by M.U.R.S.T. of Italy.

due to Feder: " $K(C(S), L^1)$ is not complemented in $L(C(S), L^1)$, if S is not dispersed" [6], when answering a question put by Johnson in [7].

Before giving our results we need two definitions and a theorem about complemented copies of c_0 in Banach spaces.

DEFINITION 1 [2]. A (bounded) subset X of a Banach space E is called a *limited* subset if for each weak* null sequence $(x_n^*) \subset E^*$ one has

$$\limsup_n \sup_x |x_n^*(x)| = 0.$$

DEFINITION 2 [2]. A Banach space E is said to have the *Gelfand–Phillips property* if any of its limited subsets is relatively compact.

THEOREM 1 [5, 11]. Let (x_n) be a copy of the unit vector basis of c_0 in E . If $\overline{\text{span}}(x_n)$ is complemented in E , then (x_n) is not a limited subset. Conversely if (x_n) is not limited, then a suitable subsequence spans a complemented copy of c_0 in E .

Now, we are ready to prove our theorems; in the first one, we even require that E has the Dunford–Pettis property but not the Schur property (see [2] for these well-known definitions).

THEOREM 2. Assume E is a Banach space enjoying the Dunford–Pettis property, the Gelfand–Phillips property, but not the Schur property. If F contains a copy of c_0 , then $W(E, F)$ is uncomplemented in $L(E, F)$.

Proof. Let $(x_n) \subset E$ be a weak null sequence of norm one elements. Since E has the Gelfand–Phillips property, (x_n) is not limited. There is a weak* null sequence $(x_n^*) \subset E^*$ with $\inf_n |x_n^*(x_n)| > 0$. By virtue of a result in [11] we can assume (and we do) that $x_m^*(x_n) = \delta_{mn}$. Let $(y_n) \subset F$ be a copy of the unit vector basis of c_0 and (y_n^*) a sequence of biorthogonal coefficients. We consider the sequences $(x_n^* \otimes y_n) \subset W(E, F)$ and $(x_n \otimes y_n^*) \subset W^*(E, F)$. Clearly, one has $(x_m^* \otimes y_n)(x_n \otimes y_n^*) = \delta_{mn}$ and that $(x_n^* \otimes y_n)$ is a copy of the unit vector basis of c_0 . Now, we show that $x_n \otimes y_n^* \xrightarrow{w^*} \vartheta$. Let $T \in W(E, F)$. We have

$$|T(x_n \otimes y_n^*)| \leq \|y_n^*\| \|T(x_n)\| \leq \text{const.} \|T(x_n)\| \rightarrow 0$$

because $x_n \xrightarrow{w} \vartheta$ and T is a Dunford–Pettis operator, since $T \in W(E, F)$ and E has the Dunford–Pettis property. Thanks to Theorem 1 we have that a subsequence $(x_{k(n)}^* \otimes y_{k(n)})$ of $(x_n^* \otimes y_n)$ spans a complemented copy of c_0 . Now, define $\psi: l_\infty \rightarrow L(E, F)$ by putting

$$\psi(\xi)(x) \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \xi_n x_{k(n)}^*(x) y_{k(n)}, \quad \xi \in l_\infty, x \in E.$$

ψ is well defined since $x_{k(n)}^* \xrightarrow{w^*} \mathcal{G}$, $\xi \in l_\infty$, and $(y_{k(n)})$ is equivalent to the unit vector basis of c_0 . It is clear that ψ is linear. Furthermore, we have that there is $C_2 > 0$ such that

$$\|\psi(\xi)(x)\| \leq C_2 \sup_n |\xi_n x_{k(n)}^*(x)| \leq C'_2 \|\xi\|_\infty, \quad x \in B_E, \xi \in l_\infty,$$

from which follows the boundedness of ψ (we have clearly used the fact that $(y_{k(n)})$ is a copy of the unit vector basis of c_0). On the other hand, thanks to the nature of $(y_{k(n)})$, there is $C_1 > 0$ so that

$$\|\psi(\xi)(x)\| \geq C_1 \sup_n |\xi_n x_{k(n)}^*(x)| \geq C_1 |\xi_h x_{k(h)}^*(x)|, \quad x \in B_E, h \in N, \xi \in l_\infty$$

and hence

$$\|\psi(\xi)\| = \sup_{B_E} \|\psi(\xi)(x)\| \geq C'_1 \|\xi\|_\infty, \quad \xi \in l_\infty.$$

ψ is then an isomorphism from l_∞ onto a closed subspace H of $L(E, F)$, mapping c_0 onto a closed subspace H_1 of $H \cap W(E, F)$. If we assume by contradiction that $W(E, F)$ is complemented in $L(E, F)$, it turns out that H_1 is complemented in $L(E, F)$ too (remember that H_1 was complemented in $W(E, F)$). Hence, we should obtain that H_1 is complemented in H , i.e., c_0 is complemented in l_∞ , a fact that is known to be false. The proof is complete.

Remark 1. Since (x_n^*) can be chosen in S_{E^*} , if (y_n) is an isometric copy of the unit vector basis of c_0 (i.e., $c_1 = c_2 = 1$), then H_1 is an isometric complemented copy of c_0 inside of $W(E, F)$.

In the above Theorem 2 the assumptions on E were considered just in order to guarantee that the considered copy of the unit vector basis of c_0 spanned a complemented subspace of $W(E, F)$. Hence, with the same proof, the following result is true (it is similar to Theorem 4 of [7]).

THEOREM 3. *Assume F contains a complemented copy of c_0 . If E^* contains a weak* null sequence that is not weak null, then $W(E, F)$ is uncomplemented in $L(E, F)$.*

Proof. Let (y_n) be a copy of the unit vector basis of c_0 , spanning a complemented subspace of F . Take a weak* null sequence $(x_n^*) \subset E^*$ that is not weak null. We just have to show that a suitable subsequence of $(x_n^* \otimes y_n)$ is a copy of the unit vector basis of c_0 spanning a complemented subspace of $W(E, F)$ and then apply the proof of Theorem 2. We observe that $W(E, F)$ is isometrically isomorphic to $L_{W^*}(E^{**}, F)$, i.e., the Banach

space of all weak*-weak continuous operators from E^{**} into F . Hence $(x_n^* \otimes y_n)$ is a copy of the unit vector basis of c_0 even inside of $L_{W^*}(E^{**}, F)$. Let x^{**} be an element of E^{**} such that $\inf_n |x_n^*(x^{**})| > 0$ (otherwise we pass to a subsequence) and let (y_n^*) be a weak* null sequence in F^* , with $y_m^*(y_n) = \delta_{mn}$. It is clear that $(x^{**} \otimes y_n^*) \in (L_{W^*}(E^{**}, F))^*$ and that $\inf_n |(x^{**} \otimes y_n^*)(x_n^* \otimes y_n)| > 0$. Furthermore, if $T \in L_{W^*}(E^{**}, F)$, one has

$$|T(x^{**} \otimes y_n^*)| = |[T(x^{**})](y_n^*)| \rightarrow 0$$

and so $(x^{**} \otimes y_n^*) \xrightarrow{w^*} \mathcal{O}$. Again Theorem 1 gives the existence of a complemented copy of c_0 in $(L_{W^*}(E^{**}, F)$ and hence in) $W(E, F)$, spanned by a suitable subsequence of $(x_n^* \otimes y_n)$. We are done.

We observe that $L(l_\infty, c_0) = W(l_\infty, c_0)$, so that the hypothesis on E^* in Theorem 3 cannot be dropped.

COROLLARY 4. *Assume E and F contain a complemented copy of c_0 . Then $W(E, F)$ is uncomplemented in $L(E, F)$.*

The next theorem has a different nature, because we do not require the presence of a copy of c_0 inside of F .

THEOREM 5. *Let F contain a complemented copy of l^1 . Assume E is such that $L(E, l^1) \neq K(E, l^1)$. Then $W(E, F)$ is uncomplemented in $L(E, F)$.*

Proof. As can be easily checked $W(E, l^1)$ is complemented in $W(E, F)$. Since l^1 has the Schur property, $W(E, l^1) = K(E, l^1)$. Let T denote an element of $L(E, l^1)$ not in $K(E, l^1)$. Using the existence of an unconditional basis in l^1 we can easily construct a series $\sum T_n$ in $K(E, l^1)$ such that $\sum T_n(x)$ converges unconditionally to $T(x)$, for all $x \in E$. A result due to Feder [6] gives that $K(E, l^1)$ is uncomplemented in $L(E, l^1)$. Now, assume by contradiction that $W(E, F)$ is complemented in $L(E, F)$; it follows that $W(E, l^1)$ is complemented in $L(E, F)$. On the other hand, we have the following chain of (isometric) embeddings

$$K(E, l^1) \hookrightarrow L(E, l^1) \hookrightarrow L(E, F). \quad (1)$$

By virtue of the previous remarks and (1) alike, $K(E, l^1)$ should be complemented in $L(E, l^1)$, a contradiction concluding the proof.

COROLLARY 6. *Assume F contains a complemented copy of l^1 and E has a quotient isomorphic to l^1 . Then $W(E, F)$ is uncomplemented in $L(E, F)$.*

Remark 2. The same proof of Theorem 5 shows that the following variation of that result is true.

THEOREM 5'. *Let F contain a complemented copy of a Banach space Z with the Schur property. If E contains a complemented copy of l^1 , then $W(E, F)$ is uncomplemented in $L(E, F)$.*

We only remark that, under such hypotheses, $K(E, Z)$ is not complemented in $L(E, Z)$ [8].

Finally, we present the announced new proof of a result by Feder [6] using the same technique of the construction of a complemented copy of c_0 inside of $K(E, F)$.

THEOREM 7. *$K(C(S), L^1)$ is not complemented in $L(C(S), L^1)$, if S is a Hausdorff compact space that is not dispersed.*

Proof. Since S is not dispersed, l^1 embeds into $C(S)$ (see [9]) and, by virtue of a famous theorem of Pelczynski (see [10]), L^1 embeds into $C^*(S)$. Now, it is well known that the Rademacher functions span l^2 inside of L^1 . So we are in the following position: there is an isomorphism R (resp. S) from l^2 into $C^*(S)$ (resp. L^1).

We shall show that, if (e_n) is the unit vector basis of l^2 , then $(R(e_n) \otimes_\varepsilon S(e_n))$ is a copy of the unit vector basis of c_0 inside of $K(C(S), L^1)$ (equal to $C^*(S) \otimes_\varepsilon L^1$ because L^1 has the approximation property [1]). For brevity we suppose $\|(R(e_n))\| = \|S(e_n)\| = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $(R(e_n))$ and $(S(e_n))$ are basic sequences, there are biorthogonal sequences (x_n^*) in $C^{**}(S)$ and (y_n^*) in L^∞ . Hence it is clear, from the definition of ε -tensor norm, that, for any choice of $a_i, i = 1, \dots, n$, we have

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |a_i| \leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i (R(e_i) \otimes_\varepsilon S(e_i)) \right\|.$$

On the other hand, R and S induce an operator $R \otimes_\varepsilon S$ from $l^2 \otimes_\varepsilon l^2$ into $C^*(S) \otimes_\varepsilon L^1$ (see [1, p. 229]), mapping $e_n \otimes_\varepsilon e_n$ onto $R(e_n) \otimes_\varepsilon S(e_n)$. So we have

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i R(e_i) \otimes_\varepsilon S(e_i) \right\| \leq \|R \otimes_\varepsilon S\| \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i e_i \otimes_\varepsilon e_i \right\| \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |a_i| \|R \otimes_\varepsilon S\|.$$

Hence c_0 embeds isomorphically in $K(C(S), L^1)$. Now, observe that $K(C(S), L^1)$ (equal to $C^*(S) \otimes_\varepsilon L^1$) has the Gelfand–Phillips property [4] and so c_0 embeds complementably in $K(C(S), L^1)$, by virtue of a result in [5, and 11]. If we assume, by contradiction, that $K(C(S), L^1)$ is complemented in $L(C(S), L^1)$, being L^1 complemented in its bidual $(L^1)^{**}$, c_0 should be complemented in $L(C(S), (L^1)^{**})$, the dual space of $C(S) \otimes_\pi L^\infty$, a contradiction that finishes our proof.

REFERENCES

1. J. DIESTEL AND J. J. UHL, JR., "Vector Measures," Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1977.
2. J. DIESTEL, A survey of results related to the Dunford–Pettis property, *Contemporary Math.* **2** (1980).
3. J. DIESTEL AND J. J. UHL, JR., "Progress in Vector Measures 1977–83," Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 1033, Springer-Verlag, New York/Berlin, 1983.
4. G. EMMANUELE AND F. RABIGER, On the Gelfand–Phillips property in ε -tensor products, *Math. Z.* **191** (1986), 485–488.
5. G. EMMANUELE, A note on Banach spaces containing complemented copies of c_0 , *Extracta Math.* **3** (1988), 98–100.
6. M. FEDER, On the non-existence of a projection onto the space of compact operators, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **25** (1982), 78–81.
7. J. JOHNSON, Remarks on Banach spaces of compact operators, *J. Funct. Anal.* **32** (1979), 304–311.
8. N. J. KALTON, Spaces of compact operators, *Math. Ann.* **208** (1974), 267–278.
9. E. H. LACEY, "The Isometric Theory of Classical Banach Spaces," Springer-Verlag, New York/Berlin, 1974.
10. A. PELCZYNSKI, On Banach spaces containing $L^1(\mu)$, *Studia Math.* **30** (1968), 231–246.
11. T. SCHLUMPRECHT, "Limited Sets in Banach spaces," Ph.D. Dissertation, München, 1987.