

On the Gelfand-Phillips Property in ε -tensor Products

Giovanni Emmanuele¹ and Frank Rübiger²

¹ Department of Mathematics, University of Catania, Viale A. Doria 6, I-95125 Catania, Italy

² Mathematisches Institut der Universität Tübingen, Auf der Morgenstelle 10, D-7400 Tübingen,
Federal Republic of Germany

In the main result of this note we show that for certain Banach spaces E and F the ε -tensor product $E \tilde{\otimes}_\varepsilon F$ inherits the Gelfand-Phillips property from E and F . In particular we obtain conditions under which spaces of vector valued continuous functions have the Gelfand-Phillips property.

1. Introduction

Let E be a Banach space. A bounded set $B \subset E$ is called a *limited set* if for every $\sigma(E', E)$ -null sequence $(x'_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in E' one has $\limsup_n \sup_{x \in B} |\langle x'_n, x \rangle| = 0$. E is said to have the *Gelfand-Phillips property* if every limited set in E is relatively compact. Banach spaces having the Gelfand-Phillips property are, for example, separable Banach spaces, reflexive Banach spaces and spaces $C(K)$, where K is both compact and sequentially compact ([2], [4, p. 238]). It is easy to see that the Gelfand-Phillips property is inherited by closed subspaces.

Unexplained notation can be found in [9]. We will now introduce some basic facts concerning ε -tensor products. Most of them can be found in [9, IV.2] and [5, pp. 223]. Let E and F be Banach spaces. The ε -tensor product $E \otimes_\varepsilon F$ and the ε -norm $\|\cdot\|_\varepsilon$ on $E \otimes_\varepsilon F$ is defined as in [9, IV.2.1]. The completion of $E \otimes_\varepsilon F$ endowed with the ε -norm is denoted by $E \tilde{\otimes}_\varepsilon F$ (in the notation of [5, pp. 223] this is the same as $E \tilde{\otimes} F$). Let E_1 and F_1 be Banach spaces, $T \in \mathcal{L}(E, E_1)$ (=continuous linear operators from E into E_1) and $S \in \mathcal{L}(F, F_1)$. Then $T \otimes S: E \tilde{\otimes}_\varepsilon F \rightarrow E_1 \tilde{\otimes}_\varepsilon F_1$ is the continuous linear extension of the operator from $E \otimes_\varepsilon F$ into $E_1 \otimes_\varepsilon F_1$ defined by

$$\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \xi_i \otimes \eta_i \rightarrow \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} (T \xi_i) \otimes (S \eta_i).$$

By $\text{ext}(U_{E'})$ resp. $\text{ext}(U_{F'})$ we denote the set of extreme points of the closed unit ball of E' resp. F' . An easy calculation shows that for $x \in E \tilde{\otimes}_\varepsilon F$ we have

$$\|x\|_\varepsilon = \sup \{ |\langle x, \xi' \otimes \eta' \rangle| : \xi' \in \text{ext}(U_{E'}), \eta' \in \text{ext}(U_{F'}) \}. \quad (1)$$

If G is a closed subspace of E , then $G \tilde{\otimes}_\varepsilon F$ is a closed subspace of $E \tilde{\otimes}_\varepsilon F$. If in addition G is complemented in E (i.e. if there exists a continuous linear projection P on E with $PE=G$), then $G \tilde{\otimes}_\varepsilon F$ is complemented in $E \tilde{\otimes}_\varepsilon F$.

2. The Gelfand-Phillips Property in Certain ε -tensor Products

Let (E, \mathcal{F}) be a topological vector space. A set $C \subseteq E$ is called *conditionally \mathcal{F} -compact* if each sequence in C contains a subsequence which is a \mathcal{F} -Cauchy sequence.

2.1 Theorem. *Let E and F be Banach spaces. We assume that E has the Gelfand-Phillips property and $\text{ext}(U_{F'})$ is conditionally $\sigma(F', F)$ -compact. Then $G := E \tilde{\otimes}_\varepsilon F$ has the Gelfand-Phillips property.*

Proof. We suppose that G does not have the Gelfand-Phillips property, i.e., there exists a limited set $B \subset G$ which is not relatively compact. So we can find a sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in B which has no convergent subsequence. Without loss of generality we may assume that $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a $\sigma(G, G')$ -Cauchy sequence ([2]) and there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\|x_n - x_{n+1}\|_\varepsilon > \delta$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus by (1) we can find $\xi'_n \in \text{ext}(U_{E'})$ and $\eta'_n \in \text{ext}(U_{F'})$ such that $|\langle x_n - x_{n+1}, \xi'_n \otimes \eta'_n \rangle| > \delta$. Since $\text{ext}(U_{F'})$ is conditionally $\sigma(F', F)$ -compact, there exists a subsequence $(\eta'_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $(\eta'_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ which is $\sigma(F', F)$ -convergent to $\eta' \in F'$. Then $(\xi'_{n_k} \otimes (\eta'_{n_k} - \eta'))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a norm-bounded $\sigma(G', E \otimes_\varepsilon F)$ -null sequence and thus a $\sigma(G', G)$ -null sequence. For $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \xi_i \otimes \eta_i \in E \otimes_\varepsilon F$ we define $S(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \xi_i \otimes \eta_i) := \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \langle \eta', \eta_i \rangle \xi_i \in E$. In this way S defines a continuous linear operator from $E \otimes_\varepsilon F$ into E . The continuous linear extension of S to G will be denoted by T . Let us mention that for every $\xi' \in E'$ we have $\xi' \circ T = \xi' \otimes \eta'$. Since continuous linear operators map limited sets into limited sets and the difference of two limited sets is limited ([2]), we obtain that $\{T(x_{n_k} - x_{n_k+1}) : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset E$ is limited, hence relatively compact. Since $(x_{n_k} - x_{n_k+1})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a $\sigma(G, G')$ -null sequence it follows that $(T(x_{n_k} - x_{n_k+1}))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges in norm to zero. Hence there is $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|\langle T(x_{n_k} - x_{n_k+1}), \xi'_{n_k} \rangle| < \delta/2 \quad \text{for all } k \geq k_0.$$

Then for each $k \geq k_0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle x_{n_k} - x_{n_k+1}, \xi'_{n_k} \otimes (\eta'_{n_k} - \eta') \rangle| \\ & \geq |\langle x_{n_k} - x_{n_k+1}, \xi'_{n_k} \otimes \eta'_{n_k} \rangle| - |\langle x_{n_k} - x_{n_k+1}, \xi'_{n_k} \otimes \eta' \rangle| \\ & = |\langle x_{n_k} - x_{n_k+1}, \xi'_{n_k} \otimes \eta'_{n_k} \rangle| - |\langle T(x_{n_k} - x_{n_k+1}), \xi'_{n_k} \rangle| \\ & > \delta - \delta/2 = \delta/2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the set $\{x_{n_k} - x_{n_k+1} : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq B - B$ is not limited. On the other hand $B - B$ is a limited set ([2]). So we have a contradiction. \square

Obviously, $\text{ext}(U_{F'})$ is conditionally $\sigma(F', F)$ -compact if the Banach space F has a $\sigma(F', F)$ -sequentially compact dual unit ball. Examples of Banach spaces of this type are separable Banach spaces, reflexive Banach spaces, weakly

compactly generated Banach spaces ([4, XIII, Thm. 4]), the duals of separable Banach spaces not containing l_1 ([4, XIII, Thm. 10]), Banach spaces whose dual space does not contain l_1 ([4, p. 226]), Banach spaces with an equivalent smooth norm ([4, p. 239]) and weak Asplund spaces ([4, p. 239]).

Since the spaces $E \tilde{\otimes}_\varepsilon F$ and $F \tilde{\otimes}_\varepsilon E$ are isomorphic ([9, p. 237]), $E \tilde{\otimes}_\varepsilon F$ has the Gelfand-Phillips property if and only if $F \tilde{\otimes}_\varepsilon E$ has the Gelfand-Phillips property. For $F = \mathbb{R}$ the Banach spaces $E \tilde{\otimes}_\varepsilon F$ and E are isomorphic. If E is a space $C(K)$, K compact, and F an arbitrary Banach space, then $E \tilde{\otimes}_\varepsilon F$ is isomorphic to the Banach space $C(K, F)$ of F -valued continuous functions on K ([9, IV.2, Example 1]). Furthermore $\text{ext}(U_{C(K)}) = \{\pm \delta_x : x \in K\}$ where $\delta_x \in C(K)$ is defined by $\langle \delta_x, f \rangle := f(x)$, $f \in C(K)$. Thus from Theorem 2.1 we obtain:

2.2 Corollary. *Let K be a compact Hausdorff space and F be a Banach space.*

- (i) *If $\text{ext}(U_F)$ is conditionally $\sigma(F', F)$ -compact, then F has the Gelfand-Phillips property.*
- (ii) *If K is sequentially compact, then $C(K)$ has the Gelfand-Phillips property ([4, p. 238]).*
- (iii) *If $C(K)$ has the Gelfand-Phillips property and $\text{ext}(U_F)$ is conditionally $\sigma(F', F)$ -compact, then $C(K, F)$ has the Gelfand-Phillips property.*
- (iv) *If K is sequentially compact and F has the Gelfand-Phillips property, then $C(K, F)$ has the Gelfand-Phillips property.*

Let E be a Banach space. We denote by $c_0(E)$ the Banach space of all sequences in E converging to zero ([9, IV.2, Example 2]) and by $l_1(E)$ the Banach space of all summable sequences in E ([9, p. 241]). Then $c_0(E)$ resp. $l_1(E)$ is isomorphic to $c_0 \tilde{\otimes}_\varepsilon E$ resp. $l_1 \tilde{\otimes}_\varepsilon E$ ([9, IV.2, Examples 2 and 4]).

2.3 Corollary. *Let E be a Banach space with the Gelfand-Phillips property. Then $c_0(E)$ and $l_1(E)$ also have the Gelfand-Phillips property.*

It is easy to see that a Banach space E has the Gelfand-Phillips property if and only if each countable limited set in E is relatively compact. Recall that a Banach space F is said to have the *separable complementation property* if every separable subspace Y of F is contained in a closed, separable, complemented subspace of F .

2.4 Corollary. *Let E be a Banach space with the Gelfand-Phillips property and F be a Banach space with the separable complementation property. Then $E \tilde{\otimes}_\varepsilon F$ has the Gelfand-Phillips property. If in addition E is isomorphic to a space $C(K)$, K compact, then $C(K, F)$ also has the Gelfand-Phillips property.*

Proof. Let $B \subset E \tilde{\otimes}_\varepsilon F$ be a countable limited set. Then there exists a closed separable subspace Y of F such that $B \subset E \tilde{\otimes}_\varepsilon Y$. Without loss of generality we can assume that Y is complemented in F . Then $E \tilde{\otimes}_\varepsilon Y$ is a complemented subspace of $E \tilde{\otimes}_\varepsilon F$. From this we obtain that B is a limited subset of $E \tilde{\otimes}_\varepsilon Y$. By Theorem 2.1 $E \tilde{\otimes}_\varepsilon Y$ has the Gelfand-Phillips property. Hence B is relatively compact in $E \tilde{\otimes}_\varepsilon Y$ and, consequently, in $E \tilde{\otimes}_\varepsilon F$. The last assertion follows from the fact that $C(K) \tilde{\otimes}_\varepsilon F$ and $C(K, F)$ are isomorphic. \square

Banach spaces which have the separable complementation property are, for example, weakly compactly generated Banach spaces ([3, p. 149]), spaces $c_0(I)$ and spaces $L_p(X, \Sigma, \mu)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$ and (X, Σ, μ) an arbitrary measure space ([7, 1.b.8] and [8, Lemma I.2]). Consequently, if E has the Gelfand-Phillips property, then for an arbitrary measure space (X, Σ, μ) the space $L_p(X, \Sigma, \mu) \tilde{\otimes}_\varepsilon E$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, has the Gelfand-Phillips property. By [5, p. 224, Thm. 5] this generalizes Theorem 1 and 2 of [6].

References

1. Beauzamy, B.: Introduction to Banach spaces and their geometry. North-Holland Mathematics Studies **68**, 1982
2. Bourgain, J., Diestel, J.: Limited operators and strict cosingularity. Math. Nachr. **119**, 55–58 (1984)
3. Diestel, J.: Geometry of Banach spaces – selected topics. Lecture Notes in Math. **485**. Berlin Heidelberg New York: Springer 1975
4. Diestel, J.: Sequences and series in Banach spaces. Graduate Texts in Mathematics 92. Berlin Heidelberg New York: Springer 1984
5. Diestel, J., Uhl, J.J., Jr.: Vector measures. Mathematical Surveys No. 15, American Math. Soc., 1977
6. Emmanuele, G.: Gelfand-Phillips property in a Banach space of vector valued measures. To appear in Math. Nachr.
7. Lindenstrauss, J., Tzafriri, L.: Classical Banach spaces II, function spaces. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete 97. Berlin Heidelberg New York: Springer 1979
8. Meyer-Nieberg, P.: Zur schwachen Kompaktheit in Banachverbänden. Math. Z. **134**, 303–315 (1973)
9. Schaefer, H.H.: Banach lattices and positive operators. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften in Einzeldarstellungen 215. Berlin Heidelberg New York: Springer 1979

Received July 11, 1985

Added in proof. Meanwhile L. Drewnowski has shown that $E \tilde{\otimes}_\varepsilon F$ has the Gelfand-Phillips property for each pair of Banach spaces E and F with the Gelfand-Phillips property.